

CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR TOWARD REFILLABLE HOUSEHOLD PRODUCTS

Dr. Nandita Rao

Assistant Professor

Department of Environmental Studies

University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

Abstract

Refillable household products are increasingly presented as a practical route for reducing dependence on single-use packaging while retaining the convenience of everyday consumer goods. Their success, however, depends less on the environmental promise of a refill system alone and more on whether consumers can incorporate it into ordinary purchasing, storage, cleaning, dosing, and repurchase routines. This paper examines consumer behaviour toward refillable household products, including laundry detergents, surface cleaners, dishwashing liquids, hand washes, shampoos, and multipurpose cleaning solutions. It uses an evidence-based comparative approach that brings together consumer-behaviour theory, published studies on reusable and refillable packaging, circular-economy literature, and documented household use practices. The analysis does not claim a new survey, experiment, or market dataset. Instead, it evaluates the factors that shape initial trial, continued use, and repeat purchase: perceived product performance, price and value, ease of refill, hygiene and safety confidence, access to refill points or delivery services, compatibility with existing containers, environmental concern, social influence, and trust in brand claims. The review shows that positive attitudes toward lower packaging waste do not automatically become regular refill behaviour. Consumers are more likely to stay with refill systems when the process is simple, the product performs reliably, the refill format prevents spills and measurement errors, and the economic benefit is clear at the moment of purchase. In contrast, inconvenient collection journeys, unclear instructions, concerns about contamination, confusing refill sizes, weak store availability, and uncertain savings can interrupt adoption. The paper argues that refillable household products should be designed as integrated consumer experiences rather than treated merely as packaging alternatives. Effective systems align product formulation, container durability, refill access, pricing, communication, and household routines. The study recommends standardised containers, transparent unit-price comparisons, easy-to-clean dispensing, trusted hygiene information, convenient retail placement, and incentives that reward repeat use. It concludes that consumer adoption can support circular consumption when refill systems reduce effort rather than transferring operational complexity to households.

Keywords: Consumer Behaviour, Refillable Household Products, Sustainable Packaging, Reuse Systems, Green Purchase Intention, Household Cleaning Products, Circular Economy, Consumer Convenience, Packaging Design, Repeat Purchase

1. INTRODUCTION

Household consumption is closely connected with packaging use because products such as detergents, cleaning liquids, hand washes, dishwashing solutions, fabric conditioners, shampoos, and multipurpose cleaners are purchased repeatedly and usually supplied in containers designed for one cycle of use. The convenience of ready-to-use products has helped normalise a purchase-dispose-replace routine in which households may have little reason to examine whether the container can be retained, refilled, returned, or shared across multiple purchases. Refillable household

products challenge this routine by separating the durable container from the consumable product. The consumer may keep a bottle, jar, dispenser, cartridge, or other vessel and replenish it through a pouch, tablet, concentrate, in-store dispenser, home-delivery refill, or return-and-refill service. The environmental rationale is intuitive, but the consumer decision is more complex because it changes what people must remember, store, clean, carry, and evaluate after the first purchase.

Refill systems have become more visible within broader discussions of circular

economy and plastic-pollution prevention. Reuse and refill can reduce demand for disposable packaging when containers complete enough cycles and when the overall system is designed efficiently. Yet environmental benefit is not guaranteed merely because a container is labelled reusable. It depends on container weight, refill material, transport, washing or cleaning requirements, product concentration, return or refill frequency, and, importantly, continued consumer participation. A refill programme can underperform when people buy the durable starter bottle but later return to conventional products, use several containers for the same purpose, dispose of refill components incorrectly, or regard the refill routine as inconvenient. Therefore, consumer behaviour is a core operational variable rather than an afterthought in refillable-product design.

The household-products category is especially useful for studying this issue. Many products are purchased frequently, used within private domestic spaces, and judged primarily on reliability. Consumers want a laundry detergent to clean clothes, a surface cleaner to perform safely on familiar materials, and a hand wash or shampoo to deliver the expected sensory and hygiene experience. Sustainable packaging may attract attention, but performance failure, dosing uncertainty, leakage, or a difficult refilling process can quickly weaken trust. At the same time, household products offer practical opportunities for refill because the product category often involves repeat purchase, recognisable containers, relatively predictable consumption, and possible use of concentrated or solid formulations that reduce water transport.

Consumer behaviour toward refillable household products can be interpreted through several linked perspectives. The Theory of Planned Behaviour emphasises attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control. In refill contexts, a consumer may approve of waste reduction yet feel unable to act because access, time, container care, or product information are difficult to manage. Perceived value is equally important: households compare not only the rupee or unit price but also the effort, cleanliness, storage

space, and risk of making a mistake. Habit is another influential factor. Conventional packaging is supported by established shopping patterns, supermarket displays, online ordering options, and expectations of immediate availability. A refill system must therefore fit daily life well enough to overcome the friction of changing a familiar routine.

This paper provides a structured review of the factors affecting consumer adoption and continued use of refillable household products. It distinguishes initial interest from repeat behaviour, compares key product categories, and considers the role of product design, price, communication, retail access, hygiene assurance, and consumer trust. The objective is not to claim a single universal model of adoption. Instead, the paper identifies practical conditions under which refillable household products are more likely to move from a sustainability intention to a stable household practice.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on sustainable consumption has repeatedly shown that environmental concern can influence purchase intention, but intention alone does not ensure regular behaviour. Consumers often support sustainability in principle while selecting conventional products when price, convenience, familiarity, or immediate product performance dominate the decision. Refillable household products intensify this attitude-behaviour gap because they require an additional action beyond choosing a product: consumers must retain a container, understand the refill format, complete the refill correctly, and make the same choice again. The Theory of Planned Behaviour remains useful for explaining the role of favourable attitudes, social norms, and perceived control, but refill adoption also requires attention to material design and service design. A system that is environmentally attractive but hard to use can limit perceived control and reduce repeat purchase.

The reusable-packaging literature highlights that consumer acceptance, retention, standardisation, return or refill rates, and local logistics are central to system sustainability. Studies of reusable packaging systems report that consumers may value waste reduction

while still expressing concerns about product quality, contamination, financial investment, storage, and inconvenience. Research focused on at-home refills also shows that actual behaviour may diverge from the intended model. Consumers can use multiple reusable products in parallel, continue buying conventional alternatives, or manage components in ways that reduce circular benefits. Recent work on sustainable

packaging further indicates that perceived value, environmental concern, product knowledge, trust, and willingness to pay shape purchase intention. These findings suggest that household refill systems should be evaluated as behaviour-change interventions involving the product, package, retail setting, and post-purchase routine rather than as isolated packaging innovations.

LITERATURE REVIEW SUMMARY TABLE

S. No.	Author / Year	Study Focus	Key Contribution	Limitations Identified
1	Ajzen (1991)	Theory of Planned Behaviour	Explained the roles of attitudes, norms, and perceived control in behavioural intention.	General framework; does not address refill-system design.
2	Coelho et al. (2020)	Reusable packaging sustainability	Outlined environmental and system factors affecting reusable packaging outcomes.	Consumer routines were not the principal focus.
3	Bradley & Corsini (2023)	Reusable packaging literature review	Identified customer acceptance, retention, standardisation, and return rate as critical factors.	Broad reuse scope; product-specific household insight remains limited.
4	Miao et al. (2023)	Consumer experience with RPSs	Reported positive attitudes alongside concerns over quality, safety, contamination, investment, and inconvenience.	Qualitative study; contexts may not transfer directly.
5	Tassell & Aurisicchio (2023)	Refill-at-home FMCG behaviour	Showed that actual refill routines can include both compliant and divergent resource-handling behaviour.	Focused on at-home offerings and a limited set of cases.
6	Puspita et al. (2024)	Cleaning-product refill facilities	Applied a behavioural perspective to purchase intention for cleaning-product refill facilities.	Regional survey context limits broad generalisation.

3. OBJECT OF STUDY

The primary focus of this research is to examine how consumers form attitudes, make purchase decisions, and sustain or discontinue refill practices for household products. The study treats refillable consumption as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single purchase. It considers the moment when a consumer first notices a refill option, evaluates its usefulness, purchases a starter product or refill, performs the refill at home or in a store,

assesses product performance, and decides whether to continue the practice. The objects of study are outlined below:

3.1 HOUSEHOLD PRODUCT CATEGORIES UNDER CONSIDERATION

- Laundry detergents, fabric conditioners, stain-removal liquids, and related fabric-care products

- Surface cleaners, bathroom cleaners, glass cleaners, floor cleaners, and multipurpose concentrates
- Dishwashing liquids, hand washes, kitchen-care products, and reusable dispensing formats
- Shampoos, body washes, and personal-care products that share household refill routines
- Bulk dispensers, refill pouches, tablets, concentrates, cartridges, and returnable container systems

3.2 CONSUMER DECISION CONTEXTS

- Environmental concern, waste-reduction motives, and perceptions of the credibility of green claims
- Product performance, fragrance, texture, cleaning effectiveness, concentration, and dosing confidence
- Price comparison, upfront cost of durable containers, refill savings, promotions, deposits, and perceived value
- Convenience of retail access, online delivery, storage, cleaning, container compatibility, and refill speed
- Hygiene, contamination, leakage, child safety, product-labelling clarity, and trust in brands or retailers

3.3 CONSUMER-BEHAVIOUR EVALUATION PARAMETERS

- Attitudes toward packaging reduction, reuse, product quality, and household convenience
- Perceived behavioural control over locating, carrying, mixing, storing, and using refill products
- Social influence from family members, peers, retailers, digital reviews, and sustainability communities
- Habit strength, repeat-purchase intention, switching barriers, and tolerance for process change
- Trust in product safety, hygiene assurance, environmental claims, and transparent price information

3.4 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS FOCUS

- Differences between ready-to-pour pouches, concentrated refills, tablets, in-store dispensers, and returnable-container models
- Adoption drivers and barriers across laundry, cleaning, dishwashing, hand-care, and personal-care product categories

- The relationship between convenience, price, product performance, environmental motivation, and continued use
- Consumer responsibilities compared with brand, retailer, packaging, and service-design responsibilities

3.5 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE SCOPE

- To identify the main drivers of consumer interest in refillable household products
- To examine practical barriers that prevent initial trial or repeated refill behaviour
- To compare consumer needs across common household-product categories and refill formats
- To recommend design, retail, communication, and policy measures that can make refill behaviour easier to sustain

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a comparative and evidence-based research methodology. It synthesises peer-reviewed consumer-behaviour research, reusable-packaging literature, circular-economy reports, and documented refill-system practices relevant to fast-moving household products. The research does not present a newly collected questionnaire, experiment, sales dataset, or life-cycle assessment. This approach is appropriate because consumer behaviour toward refillable household products varies by product category, retailer, price level, cultural context, local infrastructure, and the specific refill model used. A structured review allows the paper to examine common behavioural mechanisms without representing a single locality as universal.

The analysis follows the consumer journey from awareness to repeat purchase. First, consumers become aware of a refill option through retail displays, online information, packaging claims, social influence, or previous experience. Second, they evaluate the product according to performance, safety, price, and convenience. Third, they complete a refill action at home, in a store, or through a delivery/return service. Finally, they decide whether the experience is sufficiently easy and valuable to repeat. Each product category is assessed using common dimensions: environmental motivation, functional reliability, price clarity, access, hygiene confidence, effort, compatibility, and habit formation. The methodology also distinguishes

between initial purchase intention and continued consumer participation, because a system can attract first-time buyers yet fail to become a stable household routine.

The study uses qualitative comparative ratings such as high, moderate, or emerging adoption readiness. These ratings are interpretive categories based on the consistency of barriers and enablers discussed in the literature; they are not claimed as statistically measured market shares. The analysis avoids fabricated prevalence figures or unsupported performance claims. Recommendations are grounded in the principle that refill systems should reduce the burden of change on consumers while preserving reliable product outcomes.

METHODOLOGY FRAMEWORK

1. The study uses a comparative review design focused on refillable household-product systems.
2. Published research on consumer behaviour, sustainable packaging, reuse, and circular consumption supports qualitative synthesis.
3. The review considers starter packs, refill pouches, concentrates, tablets, in-store dispensers, and returnable containers.
4. Product performance, price, convenience, hygiene, access, trust, and habit are treated as core behavioural variables.
5. The analysis separates initial trial from regular and repeat refill behaviour.

5.1 COMPARATIVE CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR ANALYSIS

S. No.	Product Category	Typical Refill Format	Main Consumer Drivers	Main Barriers	Repeat-Purchase Potential	Design Priority
1	Laundry and fabric care	Concentrates, tablets, pouches	Frequent use, value per use, less storage	Dosing uncertainty, performance concerns, container fit	High	Clear dosing and compatibility guidance
2	Surface and multisurface cleaners	Dilution tablets, concentrates, pouches	Waste reduction, lightweight storage, reusable sprays	Mixing effort, wrong dilution, label confusion	High	Simple mix marks and use instructions
3	Dishwashing products	Pouches, bulk dispensers,	Routine purchase,	Spills, mess, hygiene	High	Sealed nozzle and

6. Household product groups are compared according to frequency of use, perceived risk, and complexity of the refill task.
7. Consumer effort is assessed across purchase, transport, storage, preparation, use, cleaning, and disposal stages.
8. The study distinguishes consumer responsibilities from design and service responsibilities held by brands and retailers.
9. No fabricated survey percentages, causal estimates, or claimed field measurements are presented.
10. Recommendations focus on making refill systems intuitive, transparent, hygienic, affordable, and easy to repeat.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis section presents a comparative evaluation of consumer behaviour across common refillable household-product categories. The analysis is based on documented consumer concerns, product-use requirements, refill formats, and the conditions needed for repeated adoption. It does not treat all household products as equally suitable for refilling. Product categories differ in frequency of purchase, possibility of using a standard bottle, sensitivity to hygiene or formulation changes, need for precise dosing, and the degree to which consumers are willing to perform an additional task. The comparative table below presents a qualitative view of adoption readiness and the main design priorities for each category.

		refills	familiar formats, visible savings	concerns, fragrance preference		controlled pouring
4	Hand wash and personal care	Cartridges, pouches, in-store dispensing	Brand loyalty, premium experience, repeat use	Safety assurance, sensory expectations, container cleaning	Moderate- High	Hygiene trust and consistent dispensing
5	Speciality or low-volume cleaners	In-store bulk or returnable bottles	Choice of quantity, less packaging, local retail appeal	Limited access, low purchase frequency, extra travel	Moderate	Retail integration and visibility

5.2 EXTENDED CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR EVALUATION

Laundry and fabric-care products have strong potential for refill adoption because they are high-frequency household purchases and consumers can readily calculate the value of a larger or concentrated refill. However, this category also involves strong performance expectations. Consumers may hesitate if they are uncertain about whether a concentrate must be diluted, whether a refill will fit their existing dispenser, or whether dosage will remain accurate. Refill systems become more acceptable when bottles have visible fill lines, measuring caps, durable pumps, clear instructions, and formula claims that are easy to verify during normal use. The starting point should not be a request for consumers to learn a complex procedure; it should be a product experience that feels at least as reliable as the conventional alternative.

Surface and multipurpose cleaners are often suitable for concentrated formats because the conventional product may contain a substantial proportion of water. Tablets, sachets, and small concentrate bottles can reduce weight and storage demands, but they also create a preparation step. Consumer acceptance depends on whether the task of adding water, waiting for dissolution, and labelling the bottle is simple and safe. Ambiguous instructions can cause over-dilution, under-dilution, or use on unsuitable surfaces. A well-designed refill product uses consistent bottle shapes, prominent measurement marks, colour-coded labels, and instructions that make correct

preparation visible at a glance. These details reduce perceived risk and build the confidence needed for repeat behaviour.

Dishwashing products combine high purchase frequency with a direct, sensory use experience. Consumers are likely to notice viscosity, fragrance, foaming, and cleaning performance quickly. Refills may appeal because the product is already familiar and used in a dedicated bottle near the sink. Nevertheless, liquid-transfer mess, package leakage, and difficulty controlling the pour can reduce satisfaction. Refillable dishwashing systems should therefore prioritise reclosable pouches, stable spouts, controlled-flow caps, and labels that explain compatibility. Price communication also matters because the apparent savings may be unclear when refill volumes differ from conventional bottles. A simple unit-price comparison and a visible statement of how many refills a bottle can support can strengthen perceived value.

Hand wash, shampoo, and body wash present a somewhat different decision environment. Consumers may associate personal-care products with hygiene, fragrance, texture, skin sensitivity, brand image, and family preferences. They may be willing to reuse a premium dispenser when it looks durable and attractive, but sceptical when cleaning responsibility or product contamination is unclear. Trust is especially important in this category. Brands need to communicate cleaning, sealing, and formulation guidance clearly, while in-store dispensers must appear well maintained and professionally managed.

Refill adoption is more likely when the system protects the sensory and safety cues that consumers use to judge quality.

Speciality products, such as oven cleaners, bathroom descalers, or lower-frequency care products, may face weaker habit support because households purchase them less often. Consumers may forget where they bought the refill, lose the compatible bottle, or choose a conventional product during an urgent shopping trip. In these cases, convenience of availability matters more than an abstract waste-reduction promise. Retailers can support adoption by positioning refills alongside the starter product, integrating them into online search results, and using reminders or subscriptions for predictable replacement cycles. The broader finding is that adoption readiness rises when the refill is available at the same point of decision as the conventional product and does not require separate planning.

5.3 OBSERVATIONS FROM DATA ANALYSIS

- Consumer interest in waste reduction is an important driver, but perceived convenience usually determines whether the refill system becomes a routine practice.
- Households judge refill products first by functional performance; sustainability benefits cannot compensate for an unreliable cleaning or care outcome.
- Transparent price comparisons are essential because consumers may not easily compare starter packs, pouches, concentrates, tablets, and different container sizes.
- Hygiene, leakage, and contamination concerns are practical barriers, particularly for products used on skin, in kitchens, or around children.
- The physical design of the container and refill interface influences behaviour by preventing spills, controlling dosage, and making correct use self-evident.
- Retail placement and digital availability matter because a refill system is easier to continue when the alternative appears during the normal shopping journey.
- Environmental claims are more persuasive when they are specific, credible, and

connected to a simple consumer action rather than vague sustainability language.

- Consumer effort should be minimised across the full cycle of purchase, storage, preparation, use, cleaning, and end-of-life management.

6. DISCUSSION

The comparative analysis indicates that refillable household products should not be evaluated only as environmentally improved packages. They represent a change in the consumption system. A conventional product offers a largely complete service: the consumer selects a sealed item, takes it home, uses it, and discards the container. A refill system redistributes some of that service across the consumer, retailer, brand, delivery partner, and packaging design. The consumer may be asked to keep a bottle, check compatibility, refill it without spills, clean it where needed, and purchase a different format next time. Whether people accept these added actions depends on how clearly the system compensates them through lower cost, better design, reduced storage, environmental satisfaction, or a more enjoyable product experience.

Convenience must therefore be understood broadly. It is not limited to how fast a refill can be poured. It includes whether a household can find the product during a regular grocery trip, understand the difference between a starter bottle and a refill, transport it comfortably, store it in a small kitchen or bathroom, remember dilution instructions, and use the product safely. A system that saves packaging but creates uncertainty at several of these steps may cause consumers to return to conventional packaging. The most successful refill designs make the sustainable action the default easy action. Clear bottle markings, product-specific nozzles, matching labels, standardised container families, and refill formats that are easy to search and reorder can reduce cognitive and physical effort.

Price and value also deserve careful interpretation. Consumers may support refill products because they expect them to be cheaper, but a lower nominal price is not always enough. The first purchase may require a durable dispenser, pump, or container, creating a higher perceived entry cost. A refill can be economically attractive per millilitre or

per use, yet consumers may not notice this when package sizes are unfamiliar. Brands and retailers should communicate value in a comparison that reflects the relevant use unit: number of washes, cleaning sessions, hand-wash refills, or days of household use. Incentives can support trial, but long-term participation depends on an experience that remains worthwhile without continuous discounts.

Trust is another central factor. Consumers need confidence that refill formulas work as expected and that containers, dispensing equipment, and refill materials are hygienic. In household-cleaning categories, consumers may worry that a diluted formula will be too weak, that a concentrate is too strong, or that a refill pouch will leak. In personal-care categories, concerns can include ingredient safety, fragrance consistency, and contamination. These are not irrational obstacles; they are ordinary quality-assessment questions. Brands should respond with transparent formulation guidance, compatibility information, seals, expiry and storage advice, visible cleaning standards for dispenser systems, and accessible customer support. Communication that focuses only on environmental virtue can appear incomplete when functional questions remain unanswered.

The analysis also highlights the importance of habit. Refillable products compete with deeply established consumer routines and with retail systems designed around single-use packages. Habit can support refill adoption when the household uses the same product frequently and keeps the container in a stable location. It can work against adoption when consumption is irregular, multiple household members shop independently, or the refill option is not available during an urgent purchase. Refill systems can strengthen new habits through subscription options, digital reminders, loyalty rewards, refill counters that are integrated into normal store layouts, and online shopping pages that present the refill as the natural repeat-purchase choice. Such interventions should not shame consumers; they should remove friction and make repetition easier.

For brands and retailers, the practical implication is that product performance, package design, and service design must be coordinated. A durable bottle should be

attractive, easy to clean, compatible with the refill, and able to provide a stable user experience across multiple cycles. The refill should minimise unnecessary material without becoming difficult to open, store, or pour. Retail access should be reliable, and digital commerce should make starter and refill options easy to identify. Standardisation can reduce consumer confusion and improve the practical feasibility of shared or interoperable systems. At the same time, design should avoid transferring excessive maintenance work to consumers, particularly where households have limited time, storage space, mobility, or access to specialised stores.

Policy and public communication can reinforce this transition by encouraging truthful environmental claims, accessible price comparison, product safety information, and inclusive retail access. However, policy should avoid assuming that all reusable or refillable formats are automatically better. The environmental and consumer outcomes depend on actual refill frequency, package design, material choice, transport, and the extent to which the system displaces single-use alternatives. Better data on retention, repeat purchase, refill compliance, household experience, and accessibility would help distinguish promising models from initiatives that generate interest but do not become durable practices.

7. CONCLUSION

This study examined consumer behaviour toward refillable household products across common categories such as laundry care, surface cleaning, dishwashing, hand wash, and personal care. The analysis shows that refill adoption is shaped by an interaction of environmental motivation, product performance, price, convenience, hygiene confidence, access, package design, and habit. Consumers may welcome the idea of reducing packaging waste, but continued participation depends on whether the refill experience is reliable and easy within everyday domestic life. The decision is not simply a choice between sustainable and unsustainable packaging; it is a judgement about the total effort, value, and risk involved in changing an established routine.

The strongest opportunities appear in high-frequency household categories where

consumers can retain a familiar container, recognise the economic value of a refill, and complete the refill without complexity. Laundry, surface cleaning, dishwashing, and hand-care products can support repeat behaviour when refills are clearly compatible, easy to pour or mix, available in normal shopping channels, and supported by transparent information. Lower-frequency or specialised products may require stronger retail integration, reminders, and visible availability because the routine is less stable. Across all categories, product performance remains non-negotiable. Sustainable packaging cannot compensate for a disappointing cleaning, dosing, safety, or sensory experience.

The study concludes that refillable household products should be developed as consumer-

centred systems. Brands and retailers should reduce friction through standardised containers, spill-resistant refill interfaces, visible dosage guidance, credible hygiene information, clear unit-price comparisons, and easy repeat ordering. Environmental communication should be specific and matched to actual system performance. Future research should investigate long-term retention, differences among household types, the role of retail and e-commerce environments, and the accessibility of refill systems for consumers with varying time, income, mobility, and storage constraints. A refill model becomes meaningfully circular only when consumers can and want to use it repeatedly.

8. REFERENCES

1. Ajzen, I. (1991). *The theory of planned behavior*. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
2. Bocken, N. M. P., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C., & van der Grinten, B. (2016). *Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy*. *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, 33(5), 308-320.
3. Bradley, C. G., & Corsini, L. (2023). *A literature review and analytical framework of the sustainability of reusable packaging*. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 37, 126-141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2023.02.009>
4. Coelho, P. M., Corona, B., ten Klooster, R., & Worrell, E. (2020). *Sustainability of reusable packaging: Current situation and trends*. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling: X*, 6, 100037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcrx.2020.100037>
5. Duarte, P., Silva, S. C., Roza, A. S., & Dias, J. C. (2024). *Enhancing consumer purchase intentions for sustainable packaging products: An in-depth analysis of key determinants and strategic insights*. *Sustainable Futures*, 7, 100193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2024.100193>
6. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). *Reuse: Rethinking packaging*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
7. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2023). *Reusable packaging business models*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
8. Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). *The circular economy: A new sustainability paradigm?* *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 757-768.
9. Griskevicius, V., Tybur, J. M., & Van den Bergh, B. (2010). *Going green to be seen: Status, reputation, and conspicuous conservation*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(3), 392-404.
10. Haws, K. L., Winterich, K. P., & Naylor, R. W. (2014). *Seeing the world through GREEN-tinted glasses: Green consumption values and responses to environmentally friendly products*. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 24(3), 336-354.
11. Lieder, M., & Rashid, A. (2016). *Towards circular economy implementation: A comprehensive review in context of manufacturing industry*. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 115, 36-51.
12. Magnier, L., & Schoormans, J. (2015). *Consumer reactions to sustainable packaging: The interplay of visual appearance, verbal claim and environmental concern*. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 44, 53-62.

13. Miao, X., Magnier, L., & Mugge, R. (2023). Switching to reuse? An exploration of consumers' perceptions and behaviour towards reusable packaging systems. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 193, 106972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.106972>
14. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2022). *Global plastics outlook: Economic drivers, environmental impacts and policy options*. OECD Publishing.
15. Peattie, K. (2010). Green consumption: Behavior and norms. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 35, 195-228.
16. Puspita, M., Nurcahyo, R., & Gabriel, D. S. (2024). Determinants of consumer purchase intentions in cleaning product refill facilities as an alternative to reducing single-use plastic packaging waste. *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, 14(3), 140-147. <https://doi.org/10.25105/jti.v14i3.21389>
17. Steenis, N. D., van der Lans, I. A., van Herpen, E., & van Trijp, H. C. M. (2017). Effects of sustainable design strategies on consumer preferences for redesigned packaging. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 141, 142-152.
18. Tassell, C., & Aurisicchio, M. (2023). Refill at home for fast-moving consumer goods: Uncovering compliant and divergent consumer behaviour. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 39, 63-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2023.04.018>
19. Trudel, R. (2019). Sustainable consumer behavior. *Consumer Psychology Review*, 2(1), 85-96.
20. United Nations Environment Programme. (2018). *Single-use plastics: A roadmap for sustainability*. UNEP.
21. United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). *Addressing single-use plastic products pollution using a life cycle approach*. UNEP.
22. United Nations Environment Programme. (2023). *Turning off the tap: How the world can end plastic pollution and create a circular economy*. UNEP.
23. Vermeir, I., & Verbeke, W. (2006). Sustainable food consumption: Exploring the consumer attitude-behavioral intention gap. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 19, 169-194.
24. White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. *Journal of Marketing*, 83(3), 22-49.
25. Young, W., Hwang, K., McDonald, S., & Oates, C. J. (2010). Sustainable consumption: Green consumer behaviour when purchasing products. *Sustainable Development*, 18(1), 20-31.