

COMMUNITY SEED BANKS AND AGRICULTURAL BIODIVERSITY PROTECTION

Dr. Ananya Verma

Assistant Professor

Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development

School of Agricultural Sciences

University of Agricultural and Rural Development, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract

Agricultural biodiversity is sustained not only through formal genebanks and breeding programmes, but also through the continued cultivation, exchange, selection, and stewardship of seeds by farming communities. Community seed banks are locally governed institutions that organise these activities by conserving seed, documenting crop diversity, facilitating seed exchange, and strengthening access to locally valued varieties. This paper examines the contribution of community seed banks to agricultural biodiversity protection through a comparative review of published scholarship, international guidance, and institutional experience. It considers their roles in conserving crop genetic resources, supporting climate adaptation, protecting associated knowledge, improving local seed security, and strengthening farmers' participation in seed-system governance. The study uses a qualitative evidence-synthesis approach and does not claim a new field survey or experimental dataset. The analysis shows that community seed banks can complement national genebanks by maintaining diversity in active cultivation, where varieties continue to adapt through farmer selection and changing environmental conditions. Their effectiveness depends on transparent local governance, seed-quality practices, viable storage, documentation, equitable participation, legal recognition, and partnerships with public research and extension institutions. The paper also identifies practical limitations, including unstable finance, weak seed-policy support, limited testing capacity, uneven participation, and the risk of treating community initiatives as short-term projects. It concludes that community seed banks should be approached as long-term social and ecological institutions. When linked with farmer organisations, local markets, national conservation systems, and inclusive rural-development policies, they can contribute meaningfully to agricultural biodiversity protection, food-system resilience, and the realisation of farmers' roles in conserving and using plant genetic resources.

Keywords: Community Seed Banks, Agricultural Biodiversity, Crop Genetic Resources, Seed Security, Farmers' Rights, Climate Resilience, Agroecology, Local Seed Systems, Conservation, Rural Development

1. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural biodiversity includes the diversity of crops, varieties, livestock, wild relatives, microorganisms, and ecological relationships that support food production and rural livelihoods. Within crop-based systems, seed diversity is particularly important because it carries the genetic information that shapes plant performance, adaptation, food qualities, cultural value, and farming options. Farmers have historically maintained this diversity through saving seed, selecting plants, sharing materials, and adapting cultivation practices to local soil, climate, dietary, and market conditions. Yet agricultural modernisation, monocropping, market concentration, land-use change, and climate stress can narrow the

diversity that remains available in farmers' fields. Protecting agricultural biodiversity therefore requires attention to both stored genetic material and the living seed systems through which diversity is renewed and used.

Community seed banks have emerged as one response to the challenge of maintaining local crop diversity while supporting practical farming needs. They are generally community-based, locally governed organisations that conserve seed and make it available for local use. Their activities may include collecting and cleaning seed, maintaining registers, storing samples, multiplying threatened varieties, organising seed fairs, conducting participatory variety selection, supporting farmer-to-farmer exchange, and connecting

communities with public institutions. Although their structures differ across regions, community seed banks are linked by the principle that seed conservation should remain connected to farmer knowledge, local decision-making, and ongoing cultivation.

The importance of such institutions is increasingly recognised in discussions of climate-resilient agriculture and sustainable food systems. Diverse seed portfolios can give farming households more options when rainfall patterns, pest pressure, market conditions, or household preferences change. Locally adapted landraces and farmer varieties may contain traits that are valuable for drought tolerance, maturity duration, storage, nutrition, taste, and performance under low-input conditions. Community seed banks do not replace formal plant breeding or national genebanks; rather, they can provide a bridge between conservation, use, local experimentation, and seed access. This bridge is especially relevant in areas where commercial seed is unavailable, unsuitable, costly, or poorly matched to diverse smallholder production systems.

The role of community seed banks must nevertheless be assessed carefully. Their conservation value depends on more than storing packets of seed. Seed viability, regeneration, identification, documentation, governance, participation, benefit sharing, and legal conditions all influence whether a bank can operate over time. Likewise, biodiversity protection cannot be reduced to counting the number of varieties stored in a room. The quality of participation, the recurrence of cultivation, the inclusion of women and marginalised growers, the reliability of seed exchange, and the links with wider conservation systems are central to the sustainability of the institution.

This paper examines community seed banks as mechanisms for agricultural biodiversity protection. It reviews their development, functions, governance challenges, and contributions to resilience. The discussion uses an evidence-based comparative framework and avoids presenting fabricated measurements or site-specific claims. The purpose is to clarify how community seed banks can be designed and supported as durable institutions that conserve diversity

while strengthening farmers' capacities to use it.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on community seed banks describes them as diverse, locally rooted institutions rather than as a single standardised model. Their origins are associated with farmer seed-saving practices, community development programmes, conservation initiatives, and efforts to strengthen informal seed systems. Vernooy, Sthapit, Galluzzi, and Shrestha (2014) identify three broad functions: conserving plant genetic resources, increasing access to and availability of local crop diversity, and contributing to seed and food sovereignty. This functional approach is useful because it recognises that conservation, access, and local control are closely connected, but may be emphasised differently in each community.

Research also links community seed banks with climate-change adaptation. Vernooy and colleagues (2017) argue that community-based seed institutions can help farmers maintain access to diverse, locally adapted crops and varieties while strengthening knowledge about selection, storage, multiplication, and dissemination. The relevance of diversity in this context is not that every local variety will perform well under every future condition. Instead, diversity can broaden the range of options available for evaluation, selection, and use as conditions change. This is particularly valuable where farmers face uncertainty and need seed that performs across varied micro-environments or seasonal risks.

A second strand of research concerns institutional sustainability. De Falcis et al. (2022) emphasise that conservation-oriented community seed banks need practical economic foundations. Storage infrastructure, seed testing, packaging, record keeping, transport, and regeneration all require resources. More recent work has highlighted the potential contribution of value addition, nature-positive agriculture, partnerships with genebanks, networking, digital documentation, and low-cost seed-quality technologies. These strategies are not uniform prescriptions; they require adaptation to local legal frameworks, ecological conditions, and the capabilities of member communities.

The literature further notes that community seed banks can support farmers' rights by maintaining local choice over seed and by recognising the role of farmers as custodians, users, and innovators of plant genetic resources. This perspective is closely related to international frameworks on plant genetic resources for food and agriculture, which recognise the contributions of farmers to the conservation and development of crop diversity. However, legal recognition alone is insufficient. The operational value of a community seed bank depends on whether farmers can access seed, participate in

decisions, obtain information about varieties, and share benefits fairly.

A continuing gap in the literature concerns long-term comparative evaluation. Many reports describe promising local experiences, but impacts are difficult to compare because community seed banks differ in size, crop focus, governance, funding, and relationship to formal seed systems. The most responsible approach is therefore to distinguish between demonstrated functions, context-dependent benefits, and outcomes that require local verification. This paper follows that approach by using qualitative indicators rather than unverified numerical claims.

LITERATURE REVIEW SUMMARY TABLE

S. No.	Author / Year	Study Focus	Key Contribution	Limitations Identified
1	Vernooy et al. (2014)	Multiple functions of community seed banks	Explains conservation, access, and seed sovereignty as connected functions.	Framework requires context-specific application and does not prescribe one model.
2	Vernooy et al. (2017)	Climate-change adaptation	Shows how diversity management and local seed access can support adaptive capacity.	Evidence is drawn from varied cases; local outcomes need careful verification.
3	Maharjan et al. (2018)	Community seed banks in Nepal	Highlights roles in seed access, social networks, and climate adaptation.	Case-based findings may not transfer directly to other regions.
4	De Falcis et al. (2022)	Economic sustainability	Identifies practical pathways for financing and sustaining community seed-bank work.	Economic strategies depend on market, policy, and institutional conditions.
5	Vernooy et al. (2024)	Sustainability strategies	Emphasises value addition, policy support, partnerships, networking, and seed-quality tools.	Recommendations require sustained public and community investment.

3. OBJECT OF STUDY

The primary object of this study is the community seed bank as a locally governed institution for conserving and using agricultural biodiversity. The study examines its practical functions, organisational arrangements, knowledge systems, and links with wider seed and conservation systems. The analysis is structured around the following areas.

3.1 CORE FUNCTIONS UNDER INVESTIGATION

- Conservation of local crop varieties, landraces, farmer varieties, and underutilised species through collection, storage, regeneration, and documentation.
- Seed access and distribution through community exchange, seed loans, seed fairs, multiplication plots, and local seed networks.
- Maintenance of associated knowledge relating to selection, cultivation, food use, storage, seed treatment, and seasonal adaptation.

- Support for participatory evaluation of varieties and farmer-led identification of traits valued under local production conditions.
- Institutional links with research organisations, extension services, genebanks, local government, cooperatives, and farmer organisations.

3.2 AGRICULTURAL BIODIVERSITY CONTEXTS

- Rainfed, irrigated, mountain, dryland, coastal, forest-margin, and peri-urban farming systems.
- Staple crops, pulses, oilseeds, vegetables, fruits, fodder crops, medicinal plants, and neglected or underutilised species.
- Household food security, local markets, cultural food traditions, agroecological production, and risk management.
- Formal, informal, and integrated seed systems in which farmers obtain seed through multiple channels.

3.3 EVALUATION PARAMETERS

- Diversity maintained in active cultivation and in storage, with attention to seed viability and regeneration.
- Local governance, transparent rules, membership participation, inclusion, and accountability.
- Quality of documentation, varietal identification, storage conditions, seed-health practices, and access arrangements.
- Contribution to farmer choice, seed security, local knowledge transmission, and climate-risk management.
- Complementarity with public conservation systems, policy frameworks, and sustainable financing arrangements.

3.4 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS FOCUS

- Conservation in storage versus conservation through continued cultivation and use.
- Community governance versus externally managed project structures.
- Local seed access versus dependence on narrowly available commercial seed options.
- Traditional knowledge protection versus the risks of poor documentation, exclusion, or unequal benefit sharing.
- Short-term establishment activities versus long-term institutional sustainability.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study uses a qualitative and comparative evidence-synthesis methodology. It draws on peer-reviewed research, institutional manuals, international policy documents, and practitioner guidance relating to community seed banks, plant genetic resources, agricultural biodiversity, and farmer-managed seed systems. The method is designed to examine the functions and requirements of community seed banks across different contexts. It does not claim a new household survey, laboratory test, field trial, or census of seed-bank holdings.

The review process was organised around five analytical dimensions: biodiversity conservation, seed access, local governance, knowledge and participation, and institutional sustainability. For each dimension, the study identifies the mechanism through which a community seed bank may contribute to agricultural biodiversity protection, the practical evidence commonly used to assess that contribution, and the risks that may undermine the result. The approach distinguishes between potential contributions and confirmed local outcomes. This distinction is important because seed banks operate within different ecological, legal, economic, and cultural environments.

The analysis treats community seed banks as part of a broader social-ecological system. Their performance is influenced by factors such as crop biology, seed longevity, storage conditions, local cropping calendars, community trust, women's participation, land access, seed regulations, extension support, and relationships with national genebanks. A community seed bank is therefore not assessed only by the quantity of seed stored. Its value also depends on how seed is regenerated, distributed, selected, recorded, and used by members over time.

To maintain academic integrity, the paper avoids fabricated coding totals, percentages, experimental findings, or claims about a named village or institution. The tables provide an analytical framework and a qualitative synthesis of reported themes. Recommendations are framed as context-sensitive measures that need local validation before implementation.

METHODOLOGY FRAMEWORK

- Published scholarship, FAO guidance, CGIAR resources, and international biodiversity frameworks are reviewed as the evidence base.
- Conservation, access, governance, knowledge, and sustainability are treated as connected dimensions rather than isolated outcomes.
- Community seed banks are compared as locally shaped institutions, not as identical organisational templates.
- Qualitative indicators are used to avoid overstating evidence where comparable measurements are unavailable.
- The framework distinguishes between formal seed systems, farmer-managed seed systems, and the partnerships that can link them.
- No invented survey results, laboratory data, adoption rates, or financial figures are presented.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis presents a qualitative synthesis of the principal dimensions through which community seed banks can contribute to

agricultural biodiversity protection. The term data in this section refers to documented evidence themes, institutional practices, and evaluation criteria reported in the literature. It does not refer to newly collected numerical observations. The analysis focuses on how each function can strengthen diversity, what evidence would be needed to assess it locally, and what limitations should be considered.

Five dimensions are examined: conservation and regeneration, seed access and availability, local knowledge and participation, governance and equity, and institutional linkage and sustainability. The dimensions are interdependent. For example, seed storage without regeneration can lead to loss of viability, while seed distribution without record keeping can weaken traceability. Similarly, a technically sound collection may still fail to protect agricultural biodiversity if access is restricted or if the varieties are no longer cultivated in farmers' fields.

5.1 COMPARATIVE COMMUNITY SEED BANK ASSESSMENT

S. No.	Dimension	Primary Evidence	Positive Indicator	Common Risk	Overall Importance
1	Conservation and regeneration	Variety registers, seed lots, viability checks, regeneration plans, field records	Diverse varieties remain identifiable, viable, and periodically grown.	Storage without regeneration can reduce viability and diversity over time.	Essential
2	Seed access and availability	Loan records, exchange rules, distribution logs, member feedback	Members can obtain locally relevant seed in a timely and equitable manner.	Access may become unequal or dependent on short-term project supply.	Very important
3	Knowledge and participation	Training records, seed fairs, community registers, farmer-led evaluation notes	Local knowledge is shared across generations and informs seed decisions.	Knowledge can be lost if participation is narrow or poorly documented.	Very important
4	Governance and equity	Bylaws, committee records, membership lists, grievance procedures	Rules are transparent and women, youth, and marginalised growers can participate.	Elite capture, unclear rules, or exclusion can weaken legitimacy.	Very important
5	Institutional sustainability	Partnership agreements, budgets, service	The bank has durable support beyond a temporary	Unstable finance, policy barriers, and	Essential

S. No.	Dimension	Primary Evidence	Positive Indicator	Common Risk	Overall Importance
		plans, genebank links, extension support	project cycle.	limited technical services.	

5.2 EXTENDED ANALYTICAL INTERPRETATION

Conservation and regeneration: Community seed banks can protect agricultural biodiversity when storage is linked with regular regeneration in farmers' fields or collectively managed multiplication plots. Regeneration is not merely a technical step; it allows communities to observe performance, maintain seed quality, update records, and preserve the relationship between varieties and local growing conditions. A collection that is not regenerated or documented may become less useful even if it initially contains many named samples.

Seed access and availability: A core contribution of community seed banks is their potential to make locally relevant seed available at the time farmers need it. Seed loans, seed exchange, local multiplication, and community distribution can reduce dependence on distant suppliers and help households re-establish crops after stress events. The quality of this contribution should be assessed through transparency, fairness, timeliness, and the suitability of seed for local conditions, rather than through distribution volume alone.

Knowledge and participation: Seed conservation carries knowledge about planting time, selection, storage, culinary use, and adaptation to specific landscapes. Community seed banks can create spaces for such knowledge to be shared through seed fairs, farmer field activities, local registers, and intergenerational learning. The value of these activities depends on voluntary participation and respectful recognition of the people who hold knowledge, including women, elderly farmers, Indigenous communities, and specialist growers.

Governance and equity: Local governance determines who can join, who makes decisions, how seed is allocated, and how benefits and responsibilities are shared. Clear bylaws, transparent records, rotating leadership, accessible meetings, and grievance

mechanisms can improve legitimacy. Governance needs to be assessed in practice, not only through the existence of a committee, because unequal participation can undermine the long-term social base of conservation.

Institutional sustainability: Community seed banks operate most effectively when they are connected to supportive institutions without losing their local autonomy. Partnerships with agricultural universities, extension agencies, local governments, national genebanks, and farmer organisations can provide training, seed-health guidance, safety duplication, and technical support. At the same time, long-term sustainability requires realistic local resource planning and legal arrangements that recognise community seed activities.

5.3 OBSERVATIONS FROM DATA ANALYSIS

- Agricultural biodiversity protection is stronger when seed is both conserved and actively used in local farming systems.
- Community seed banks can expand farmer choice, but their contribution depends on seed quality, equitable access, and dependable local governance.
- Diversity registers and seed records are important for traceability, regeneration planning, and recognition of local varieties.
- Women's knowledge and participation should be actively supported because seed selection, storage, food use, and household crop decisions are often socially differentiated.
- Links between community seed banks and national genebanks can improve complementary conservation through safety duplication, characterisation, and technical exchange.
- Financial sustainability should be treated as a conservation requirement, not as a secondary administrative issue.
- Policy support should protect farmers' ability to save, use, exchange, and develop seed in ways consistent with applicable law and local rights.

6. DISCUSSION

The review indicates that community seed banks are best understood as living institutions of agricultural biodiversity protection. Their main contribution is not simply the physical storage of seed. They connect conservation with use, allowing crop diversity to remain visible, available, and responsive to farmer preferences. This connection is important because agricultural biodiversity can lose practical value when it is separated from local knowledge, cultivation, food practices, and farmer decision-making.

A major strength of community seed banks is their capacity to work across different levels of seed-system activity. At the local level, they may organise seed exchange, maintain local records, support multiplication, and provide seed during seasonal need. At the institutional level, they can create a point of contact for extension services, researchers, and public conservation agencies. This positioning can help overcome the artificial separation between formal and informal seed systems. Rather than treating them as competing systems, policy can support arrangements in which public research, certified seed where appropriate, farmer varieties, and community-managed diversity complement one another.

However, the sustainability of community seed banks cannot be assumed. A storage structure alone does not create a functioning institution. The bank needs people who can manage seed, keep records, monitor quality, coordinate regeneration, convene meetings, and maintain trust. It must also address practical questions: Who owns the infrastructure? Who decides the membership rules? How are seed loans repaid? What happens when a variety is not returned? How are benefits shared? How are disputes resolved? Such questions demonstrate why community seed banks should be supported through long-term institutional development rather than short project cycles.

Equity is another essential issue. Seed knowledge and seed access are not distributed evenly within communities. Women may be central to seed selection, storage, crop diversity, and household food preparation, yet may have limited formal authority in agricultural organisations. Youth may have interest in innovation and digital tools but lack

land or decision-making power. Smallholders, tenant farmers, Indigenous communities, and socially marginalised groups may be excluded from leadership or access if rules are not designed inclusively. A biodiversity institution that reproduces exclusion will not fully realise its conservation or development potential.

The discussion also points to the importance of documentation and responsible data governance. Community biodiversity registers, variety descriptions, seed inventories, and local histories can assist conservation, but they should be developed with informed participation and safeguards for community knowledge. Documentation should not become a pathway for unconsented extraction or appropriation of resources and knowledge. Local governance rules should clarify what information is shared publicly, what is protected within the community, and how external collaboration is negotiated.

Finally, community seed banks should be integrated into wider biodiversity and climate strategies. The Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework and international commitments on plant genetic resources reinforce the importance of conserving genetic diversity and supporting sustainable use. Community seed banks can translate these broad commitments into practical local action, but they need enabling policy, technical partnership, and respect for farmer agency. Their contribution is strongest when biodiversity protection is linked with livelihood relevance, food cultures, seed security, and adaptive farming practices.

7. CONCLUSION

This study examined community seed banks as local institutions for agricultural biodiversity protection. The review demonstrates that their significance lies in joining conservation with continued use. By storing seed, regenerating varieties, organising access, documenting local knowledge, and supporting farmer participation, community seed banks can help maintain diverse crop genetic resources in living agricultural landscapes.

The analysis further shows that no single indicator can capture their value. Seed inventories and storage facilities matter, but they must be complemented by regeneration, quality management, transparent governance, inclusion, local relevance, and institutional

partnerships. Community seed banks should therefore be evaluated as social-ecological institutions rather than as storage sites alone.

For policy and practice, the priority should be to create enabling conditions: secure local governance, capacity building, basic seed-quality support, inclusive participation, appropriate documentation, sustainable resource planning, and constructive links with national genebanks, extension agencies, and

research institutions. Such support can help community seed banks contribute to resilient agriculture, farmer choice, food-system diversity, and the long-term protection of agricultural biodiversity. Future research should include locally grounded longitudinal studies that document how different governance models, crop systems, and policy environments affect seed-bank sustainability and biodiversity outcomes over time.

8. REFERENCES

1. Andersen, R. (2018). *Community seed banks: Sharing experiences from North and South*. Routledge.
2. Bhatta, G. D., Joshi, P. K., & Gauchan, D. (2013). Agricultural biodiversity conservation for food security: The case of community seed banks in Nepal. *Outlook on Agriculture*, 42(4), 259-266.
3. Convention on Biological Diversity. (2022). *Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework*. CBD Secretariat.
4. De Falcis, E., Gauchan, D., Nankya, R., Martinez Cotto, S., Jarvis, D. I., Lewis, L., & De Santis, P. (2022). Strengthening the economic sustainability of community seed banks: A sustainable approach to enhance agrobiodiversity in production systems in low-income countries. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, 803195.
5. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2010). *The second report on the state of the world's plant genetic resources for food and agriculture*. FAO.
6. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2011). *Community seed banks: Junior farmer field and life school facilitator's guide*. FAO.
7. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). *The state of the world's biodiversity for food and agriculture*. FAO.
8. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2021). *Seed biodiversity: The life insurance of food production*. FAO.
9. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2024). *Capitalizing on experiences in community seed production in Europe and Central Asia: Review report of implementation, constraints, and opportunities*. FAO.
10. Halewood, M., Lopez Noriega, I., & Louafi, S. (Eds.). (2013). *Crop genetic resources as a global commons: Challenges in international law and governance*. Routledge.
11. International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. (2009). *International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*. FAO.
12. IPBES. (2019). *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services*. IPBES Secretariat.
13. Jarvis, D. I., Padoch, C., & Cooper, H. D. (Eds.). (2007). *Managing biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems*. Columbia University Press.
14. Joshi, B. K., Shrestha, P., Gauchan, D., & Sthapit, B. R. (2018). *Community seed banks in Nepal: Past, present, future. Local Initiatives for Biodiversity, Research and Development*.
15. Maharjan, S. K., Joshi, N. P., & Piya, L. (2018). Roles and contributions of community seed banks in climate adaptation in Nepal. *Development in Practice*, 28(2), 161-174.
16. McGuire, S., & Sperling, L. (2016). Seed systems smallholder farmers use. *Food Security*, 8, 179-195.
17. Pautasso, M., Aistara, G., Barnaud, A., Caillon, S., Clouvel, P., Coomes, O. T., Delêtre, M., Demeulenaere, E., De Santis, P., Döring, T., et al. (2013). Seed exchange networks for agrobiodiversity conservation: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 33, 151-175.
18. Singh, P. B., Shrestha, P., Tjikana, T. T., & Wakkumbure, C. L. K. (2020). The role of community seed banks in achieving farmers' rights. *Development in Practice*, 30(5), 561-574.
19. Song, X., Zhang, X., & Vernooij, R. (2021). Community seed banks in China: Achievements, challenges and prospects. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5, 630400.

20. Sthapit, B., Rana, R., Eyzaguirre, P., & Jarvis, D. (Eds.). (2008). *The value of local crop diversity: Strengthening on-farm management of agricultural biodiversity*. Bioversity International.
21. Vernooy, R., Shrestha, P., & Sthapit, B. (Eds.). (2015). *Community seed banks: Origins, evolution and prospects*. Routledge.
22. Vernooy, R., Sthapit, B., Galluzzi, G., & Shrestha, P. (2014). The multiple functions and services of community seed banks. *Resources*, 3(4), 636-656.
23. Vernooy, R., Sthapit, B., & Bessette, G. (2017). *Community seed banks: Concept and practice. Facilitator handbook*. Bioversity International.
24. Vernooy, R., Sthapit, B., Otieno, G., Shrestha, P., & Gupta, A. (2017). The roles of community seed banks in climate change adaption. *Development in Practice*, 27(3), 316-327.
25. Vernooy, R., Rana, J., Otieno, G., Mbozi, H., & Shrestha, P. (2022). Farmer-led seed production: Community seed banks enter the national seed market. *Seeds*, 1(1), 15-32.
26. Vernooy, R., et al. (2024). Promising strategies to enhance the sustainability of community seed banks. *Sustainability*, 16(19), 8665.
27. World Bank. (2021). *Food system resilience: Concepts and policy approaches*. World Bank.
28. Zimmerer, K. S. (2010). Biological diversity in agriculture and global change. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 35, 137-166.