

WATER SCARCITY MANAGEMENT IN SEMI-ARID COMMUNITIES

Dr. R. K. Goyal

Principal Scientist

Land and Water Management Engineering

ICAR–Central Arid Zone Research Institute (ICAR-CAZRI)

Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Water scarcity is a persistent development challenge in semi-arid communities, where limited and highly variable rainfall, high evaporation, declining groundwater levels, and growing domestic and agricultural demand place continuous pressure on local water systems. The consequences extend beyond the physical availability of water. In many communities, shortages affect household health, food production, school attendance, local livelihoods, and social equity, particularly for women, children, small farmers, pastoral households, and other groups with limited access to secure water sources. Effective water scarcity management therefore requires more than the construction of isolated water infrastructure; it requires an integrated approach that connects water conservation, supply diversification, local institutions, community participation, and drought preparedness.

This study examines practical strategies for managing water scarcity in semi-arid communities from an environmental sustainability perspective. It considers the role of rainwater harvesting, watershed protection, groundwater recharge, efficient irrigation, wastewater reuse, demand management, and community-based water governance. Attention is given to the interaction between natural conditions and social practices, including seasonal rainfall patterns, land use, household water needs, agricultural dependence, local knowledge, and institutional capacity. The study recognizes that a technology that is effective in one community may be unsuitable in another when maintenance, affordability, water quality, land ownership, or community participation are not adequately considered.

The paper uses a descriptive, analytical, and comparative approach based on published research, policy reports, and documented community water-management experiences. It evaluates management options according to their ability to improve water availability, reduce losses, protect water quality, strengthen local resilience, and support equitable access. The analysis indicates that the most durable solutions combine small-scale storage and recharge measures with transparent allocation rules, regular monitoring, water-efficient livelihoods, and inclusive local decision-making. Semi-arid communities can improve water security when technical investments are planned at the scale of the household, farm, village, and catchment rather than as stand-alone interventions.

Keywords: Water scarcity; Semi-arid communities; Rainwater harvesting; Groundwater recharge; Water governance; Drought resilience; Water conservation; Efficient irrigation; Watershed management; Community participation

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is essential for drinking, sanitation, food production, livestock, small enterprises, ecosystem health, and social well-being. In semi-arid regions, however, water availability is often uncertain because rainfall occurs in short and irregular seasons, while potential evaporation remains high throughout much of the year. A community may receive enough total rainfall over a season to meet several basic needs, yet still experience serious water shortages when rain falls rapidly, runs off degraded land, or cannot be stored for use during dry months. This mismatch between the

timing of rainfall and the timing of demand is a central feature of water scarcity in semi-arid settings.

The challenge is intensified by population growth, expansion of irrigated agriculture, increasing livestock pressure, land degradation, climate variability, and weak maintenance of local water infrastructure. Households that rely on shallow wells, seasonal streams, hand pumps, tanks, or small reservoirs can face abrupt supply interruptions during prolonged dry spells. Where groundwater extraction exceeds recharge, water tables decline and the cost of accessing

water rises. In addition, poor-quality water may force households to choose between inadequate quantities of safer water and more accessible but contaminated sources.

Water scarcity is not only a hydrological problem; it is also a management and governance problem. Communities with similar rainfall may experience very different outcomes depending on how water is captured, stored, allocated, protected, and monitored. Decisions about land use, well drilling, crop choice, irrigation timing, tariff collection, maintenance responsibilities, and participation in local water committees all influence the reliability of water services. For this reason, management strategies must combine physical measures with local institutions that are capable of maintaining assets and resolving conflicts.

Semi-arid communities require approaches that are practical under limited financial and technical capacity. Large dams and long-distance transfers may help in some contexts, but many settlements depend more directly on decentralized measures such as roof catchments, farm ponds, recharge structures, contour bunds, protected springs, efficient conveyance, and household-level storage. These options are most effective when they are selected through local assessment, designed for the local soil and rainfall conditions, and supported by training for operation and maintenance.

Therefore, this paper focuses on water scarcity management in semi-arid communities, examining the main causes of local water stress, the range of available management strategies, and the conditions required for long-term water security. The discussion highlights the importance of integrating demand reduction, supply enhancement, ecosystem protection, and equitable governance into a coherent community water-management framework.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on water scarcity management emphasizes that semi-arid areas must be understood as socio-hydrological systems in which rainfall, groundwater, soils, vegetation, livelihoods, institutions, and household behavior interact. Earlier approaches frequently treated water scarcity as a simple shortage of supply. More recent studies show

that scarcity is also created or intensified by unmanaged demand, inefficient conveyance and irrigation, unequal access, pollution, and the failure to protect recharge areas. This perspective has shifted attention from single-source development to integrated water-resource management, water-demand management, and community-centered resilience planning.

Research on rainfed agriculture has shown that water shortages in dry environments are often related to the management of rainfall, soil moisture, and dry spells rather than to annual rainfall totals alone. Water harvesting, soil-moisture conservation, supplemental irrigation, and improved crop planning can reduce the risk of seasonal production losses. At the same time, studies of groundwater stress demonstrate that wells and boreholes can provide valuable dry-season security but become unsustainable when abstraction is not linked to recharge assessment, allocation rules, and collective monitoring.

Community water-governance research further highlights the value of clear local rules, transparent decision-making, and the involvement of water users in planning and maintenance. Local institutions are especially important where several groups depend on the same source for domestic use, irrigation, livestock, and small-scale economic activity. A technical intervention may fail when it does not address ownership, responsibility for repairs, access for vulnerable groups, or mechanisms for managing disputes. Conversely, locally accepted rules can improve maintenance, reduce wastage, and build trust in shared water systems.

2.1 HYDROCLIMATIC DRIVERS OF WATER SCARCITY

Semi-arid climates are characterized by low to moderate rainfall, high interannual variability, long dry periods, and high atmospheric demand for water. Rainfall is commonly concentrated in a few heavy events that produce rapid runoff instead of steady infiltration. When catchments are bare, compacted, or eroded, the capacity of soils to retain water is reduced. Surface water bodies may therefore fill briefly after rainfall and then dry quickly, while groundwater recharge remains limited. These characteristics make

storage, infiltration, and loss reduction central priorities for water management.

Climate variability adds another layer of uncertainty. Delayed rainfall onset, shortened wet seasons, heat waves, and multi-year droughts can affect the quantity and quality of available water. High temperatures increase evaporation from open ponds, reservoirs, and soils, while drought can concentrate pollutants in smaller volumes of water. Communities that depend on a single well, seasonal stream, or tanker supply are particularly exposed when these changes occur. A diversified portfolio of sources and strong drought-preparedness planning can reduce this exposure.

Land-use change also influences water availability. Deforestation, overgrazing, excessive cultivation on slopes, and unregulated construction can reduce infiltration and accelerate runoff. In contrast, soil conservation, vegetation restoration, recharge-zone protection, and careful siting of small water structures can slow water movement and support local recharge. The literature therefore treats watershed condition as a foundation for reliable community water supply rather than as a separate environmental concern.

2.2 COMMUNITY-BASED AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT APPROACHES

A major theme in the literature is that water scarcity management works best when supply-side and demand-side measures are implemented together. Supply-side measures include rainwater harvesting, small reservoirs, percolation ponds, recharge wells, protected springs, managed aquifer recharge, and the rehabilitation of traditional tanks and channels. Demand-side measures include leak control, metering where appropriate, water-saving household practices, irrigation scheduling, drought-tolerant crop selection, and reuse of safely treated wastewater. Combining these measures reduces dependence on a single source and spreads risk across seasons.

Rainwater harvesting is widely identified as a practical option in semi-arid settlements because it captures water close to the point of use. Roof catchments can provide water for domestic purposes when collection surfaces, gutters, first-flush systems, storage tanks, and water-quality safeguards are properly

maintained. At farm and landscape scale, contour bunds, check dams, farm ponds, trenches, and infiltration basins can reduce runoff and improve soil-moisture availability. The effectiveness of these structures depends on rainfall intensity, soil type, available land, sediment management, and the ability of the community to maintain them after construction.

Efficient irrigation is another important area of study because agriculture often represents a large share of local water use. Drip and sprinkler systems, mulching, deficit irrigation, soil-moisture monitoring, improved field channels, and better irrigation timing can reduce non-beneficial water losses. However, technology adoption is not automatic. Smallholder farmers may face upfront costs, limited energy access, inadequate training, or difficulties obtaining spare parts. Programs that combine equipment with local repair services, farmer training, credit support, and crop-market planning are more likely to be sustained.

Research on community participation stresses that local knowledge should inform both the diagnosis of water problems and the choice of solutions. Women and girls often bear the daily burden of water collection, while farmers, pastoralists, and small businesses may have different seasonal needs. Inclusive planning helps identify these differences and can reduce conflict. Water committees, user associations, and village-level monitoring systems are more effective when their roles, representation, financial responsibilities, and links to local government are clearly defined.

3. OBJECT OF STUDY

The primary object of this study is to examine how semi-arid communities can manage chronic and seasonal water scarcity through integrated technical, environmental, and institutional strategies. The study treats water security as the reliable and equitable availability of adequate-quality water for essential domestic, livelihood, and ecosystem needs. It does not assume that a single intervention can solve local scarcity. Instead, it investigates how combinations of conservation, capture, storage, recharge, demand management, and community governance can produce more resilient outcomes.

The study specifically considers the relationship between local hydrological conditions and the everyday practices through which communities access and use water. This includes rainfall distribution, surface runoff, groundwater levels, source reliability, domestic demand, irrigation needs, livestock requirements, water quality, and the institutional arrangements that guide allocation. It also considers the capacity of communities to operate, repair, and finance water systems over time.

A key aspect of the research is the identification of management measures that remain useful during dry periods without causing long-term depletion of groundwater or damage to local ecosystems. The analysis compares decentralized systems, such as household tanks and recharge structures, with shared systems, such as community reservoirs, piped distribution, and managed wells. It also evaluates how water-management decisions influence equity, especially for households with limited land, income, mobility, or political influence.

In addition, the study examines the importance of planning at multiple scales. Household actions can reduce daily losses, farm-level practices can improve water productivity, village-level institutions can coordinate shared sources, and catchment-level measures can protect recharge and reduce erosion. These scales must be connected if local water improvements are to be maintained under changing climate and demand conditions.

3.1 SOCIO-HYDROLOGICAL CONDITIONS IN SEMI-ARID COMMUNITIES

This subsection focuses on the environmental and social conditions that shape water scarcity and water-management choices in semi-arid settlements.

- Assessment of rainfall amount, timing, intensity, and dry-spell frequency.
- Evaluation of runoff patterns, soil infiltration, erosion, and local recharge potential.
- Identification of existing water sources, including wells, tanks, ponds, springs, streams, and trucked supplies.
- Analysis of domestic, agricultural, livestock, and small-enterprise water demand.

- Examination of seasonal water-quality risks, including salinity, microbial contamination, and sedimentation.
- Assessment of social differences in water access, time spent collecting water, and decision-making power.

3.2 WATER DEMAND, ACCESS, AND SOURCE RELIABILITY

This section examines how water requirements and access conditions vary among user groups and across seasons.

- Analysis of household water use for drinking, cooking, hygiene, cleaning, and sanitation.
- Study of irrigation and livestock requirements during normal seasons and drought periods.
- Evaluation of source reliability, pumping costs, travel distance, and waiting time.
- Assessment of physical losses in storage tanks, pipelines, open channels, and irrigation systems.
- Identification of priority uses and equitable allocation arrangements during shortage periods.

3.3 MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION SCOPE

This subsection considers the practical measures that can be combined to improve water security and reduce vulnerability.

- Construction and maintenance of roof-water harvesting and household storage systems.
- Use of check dams, percolation ponds, recharge trenches, and recharge wells where hydrogeological conditions are suitable.
- Protection of catchments, recharge zones, riparian vegetation, and traditional water bodies.
- Application of efficient irrigation, mulching, crop diversification, and soil-moisture conservation.
- Development of local water budgets, drought plans, and transparent water-use rules.
- Training of water-user groups for operation, maintenance, monitoring, and record keeping.
- Consideration of safe wastewater reuse and water-quality protection where treatment and monitoring are available.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a descriptive, analytical, and comparative research methodology to examine water scarcity management in semi-arid communities. The methodology is designed to connect environmental conditions, water-use patterns, management practices, and community institutions. It focuses on the practical performance of water-management options rather than evaluating any single technology in isolation. Secondary information is drawn from peer-reviewed research, technical reports, development publications, and documented case experiences concerning water harvesting, groundwater management, efficient irrigation, drought planning, and local water governance. The study follows a structured analytical framework that compares different

management measures according to water-security relevance, local feasibility, likely maintenance needs, environmental impact, equity implications, and resilience during dry periods. Particular attention is given to the relationship between water availability and the capacity of local institutions to manage shared assets. The framework recognizes that economic cost, social acceptance, technical complexity, and water quality are as important as storage capacity when determining whether an intervention can be sustained.

4.1 RESEARCH DESIGN

The research follows a descriptive and comparative design to analyze water-management conditions and intervention options in semi-arid communities.

Component	Description
Research Type	Descriptive, Analytical, Comparative
Approach	Community and water-resource management analysis
Data Source	Reports, journal articles, policy documents
Analysis Method	Comparative assessment of management strategies
Focus Area	Water security and drought resilience in semi-arid communities

4.2 STUDY DIMENSIONS AND MANAGEMENT-SYSTEM SELECTION

The study considers major water-security dimensions for evaluation:

- Rainwater harvesting and decentralized storage systems.
- Groundwater recharge and sustainable well-management practices.
- Efficient irrigation and soil-moisture conservation for smallholder agriculture.
- Household water conservation, leakage reduction, and water-quality protection.
- Community water governance, drought planning, and conflict-management arrangements.

Each management option is assessed on the basis of:

- Potential to improve seasonal water availability.
- Ability to reduce water losses and non-essential demand.
- Suitability for local rainfall, soils, aquifers, and land-use conditions.

- Cost, technical complexity, repair requirements, and availability of materials.
- Equity of access for different households and livelihood groups.
- Environmental safeguards, including protection of water quality and recharge areas.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis section presents a qualitative evaluation of water scarcity management strategies for semi-arid communities. The analysis is organized around five interconnected dimensions: seasonal water availability, water demand, physical losses, source reliability, and community-management capacity. These dimensions are used to understand why scarcity occurs and to identify which combinations of interventions are most suitable under differing local conditions.

The first finding is that rainfall quantity alone is not an adequate indicator of water security. Communities may receive a significant share of their annual rain in a small number of

events, yet experience shortages because water is not captured, infiltration is limited, or existing storage is too small. This indicates that local management should focus on retaining rainfall where it falls, improving soil infiltration, and matching storage capacity to expected dry-season needs. Measures such as roof catchments, tanks, check dams, contour structures, and recharge features can complement one another when they are designed for the local catchment.

The second finding concerns the importance of demand management. Domestic conservation and improved irrigation practices can extend the value of available water, particularly during drought. Reducing leakage, covering storage, repairing distribution points, using water-efficient fixtures, and scheduling irrigation according to crop need can lower avoidable losses. Demand management should not be interpreted as placing the burden of scarcity only on households. It must be paired with reliable public services, fair allocation rules, and support for livelihood practices that use water more productively.

Groundwater is identified as both a critical buffer and a source of long-term risk. Wells may provide dependable water when surface sources fail, but uncontrolled drilling and pumping can lower water tables, increase energy costs, and deteriorate water quality. The analysis supports local groundwater monitoring, registration of high-capacity extraction where feasible, protection of recharge zones, and the use of recharge interventions only after hydrogeological assessment. Community records of rainfall, well depth, pumping duration, and seasonal water levels can provide a practical early-warning system when formal monitoring networks are limited.

Water quality is also a central management dimension. During dry periods, communities may rely on sources with elevated salinity, microbial contamination, fluoride, nitrate, or sediment loads. Storage systems that improve quantity without adequate hygiene can create new health risks. Source protection, first-flush devices, tank cleaning, household treatment where appropriate, sanitary well construction, and regular testing are therefore important components of water-security planning. Quality safeguards should be built into every

supply and storage intervention rather than added only after a problem emerges.

The analysis further shows that community institutions influence the success of physical infrastructure. Water committees that collect modest user fees, maintain transparent records, involve women and marginalized users, and coordinate with local authorities are better positioned to sustain shared assets. Rules must be understandable, locally legitimate, and flexible enough to adapt during drought. The most effective arrangements specify maintenance duties, emergency allocation priorities, procedures for reporting faults, and methods for resolving disputes before shortages become severe.

6. DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that water scarcity management in semi-arid communities should be understood as a process of building resilience rather than simply increasing supply. Resilience emerges when communities have several dependable options, can reduce avoidable demand, monitor their resources, and make collective decisions during periods of stress. A larger storage structure may provide short-term relief, but it does not guarantee water security if recharge is declining, distribution is inequitable, or maintenance is neglected.

An important observation is that decentralized and centralized measures can be complementary. Household tanks can reduce pressure on shared systems and improve access for daily needs, while community-scale recharge structures and storage systems can support broader seasonal security. At the same time, larger systems may be necessary for schools, health facilities, or settlements with dense populations. The choice should be based on a local water budget, site assessment, expected maintenance capacity, and the need to protect vulnerable users. A portfolio approach is generally more reliable than dependence on a single intervention.

The discussion also highlights the value of combining water management with land and ecosystem management. Soil erosion, vegetation loss, and poorly planned construction can reduce infiltration and increase the speed at which rainfall leaves a catchment. Measures such as contour planting, controlled grazing, gully treatment, vegetative

barriers, and protection of recharge zones may not appear to be water-supply projects, but they can improve the performance of wells, ponds, and small reservoirs over time. Catchment restoration is particularly important where sedimentation repeatedly reduces storage capacity.

Equity remains a central consideration. Water scarcity can affect all users, but its burden is not evenly distributed. Poor households may be unable to pay for tanker water, drill deeper wells, purchase storage tanks, or install irrigation equipment. Women and children may spend more time collecting water, while small farmers and pastoralists may lose income when priority water sources are captured by larger users. Management plans should therefore include participation from different user groups, transparent criteria for emergency allocation, and targeted support for households facing the greatest access barriers. The role of local government and external agencies is also significant. Communities can manage many day-to-day functions, but they may require technical support for water-quality testing, aquifer assessment, design standards, drought forecasting, and major repairs. Government programs should strengthen local capacity rather than replace it. Support is most useful when it includes training, clear accountability, quality control, and predictable financing for essential infrastructure and monitoring.

7. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that water scarcity management in semi-arid communities requires an integrated and locally grounded approach. Scarcity is shaped by variable rainfall, high evaporation, runoff, land degradation, groundwater pressure, rising demand, water-quality risks, and uneven access. As a result, isolated infrastructure projects are rarely sufficient. Durable improvements depend on combining rainwater capture, storage, recharge, efficient use, source protection, water-quality safeguards, and community governance.

The analysis demonstrates that rainwater harvesting, soil-moisture conservation, small-

scale recharge structures, improved irrigation, leakage control, and safe reuse can strengthen local water security when they are selected according to local conditions. These measures should be supported by simple water budgets, routine monitoring, maintenance systems, and drought-preparedness plans. Community institutions play a decisive role because they determine how shared sources are maintained, how scarcity is communicated, and how access is prioritized during dry periods.

The study further concludes that groundwater must be managed as a common and limited resource. While wells and boreholes provide important dry-season security, unregulated extraction can undermine the long-term value of local water systems. Recharge protection, observation of water-level trends, demand management, and locally accepted rules are essential to avoid depletion. Water quality should receive equal attention so that increased storage or access does not expose households to preventable health risks.

Another important conclusion is that equity and participation are not secondary features of water management; they are conditions for sustainability. Plans that ignore the needs of women, low-income households, smallholders, pastoralists, and users with limited mobility are more likely to reproduce water insecurity. Inclusive planning, transparent records, clear maintenance responsibilities, and fair emergency allocation can strengthen trust and improve the performance of water systems.

Finally, the study suggests that future semi-arid water strategies should link community action with catchment protection and public support. Investments in local storage and conservation should be accompanied by land restoration, groundwater assessment, water-quality monitoring, technical training, and responsive local institutions. Such an integrated approach can help semi-arid communities use available water more carefully, reduce vulnerability to drought, and build a more secure and sustainable water future.

8. REFERENCES

1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2020). The State of Food and Agriculture 2020: Overcoming Water Challenges in Agriculture. Rome: FAO. <https://www.fao.org/3/cb1447en/cb1447en.pdf>

2. UNESCO World Water Assessment Programme. (2024). The United Nations World Water Development Report 2024: Water for Prosperity and Peace. Paris: UNESCO. <https://www.unesco.org/reports/wwdr/2024>
3. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge University Press. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/>
4. UN-Water. (2023). Summary Progress Update 2023: SDG 6 - Water and Sanitation for All. United Nations. <https://www.unwater.org/publications/summary-progress-update-2023-sdg-6-water-and-sanitation-all>
5. Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2016). Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. *Science Advances*, 2(2), e1500323. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500323>
6. Vorosmarty, C. J., McIntyre, P. B., Gessner, M. O., et al. (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature*, 467, 555-561. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09440>
7. Rockstrom, J., Falkenmark, M., Karlberg, L., et al. (2009). Future water availability for global food production: The potential of green water for increasing resilience to climate change. *Water Resources Research*, 45, W00A12. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006767>
8. Rockstrom, J., Karlberg, L., Wani, S. P., et al. (2010). Managing water in rainfed agriculture: The need for a paradigm shift. *Agricultural Water Management*, 97(4), 543-550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2009.09.009>
9. Oweis, T., & Hachum, A. (2006). Water harvesting and supplemental irrigation for improved water productivity of dry farming systems in West Asia and North Africa. *Agricultural Water Management*, 80(1-3), 57-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2005.07.004>
10. Critchley, W., & Siegert, K. (1991). *Water Harvesting: A Manual for the Design and Construction of Water Harvesting Schemes for Plant Production*. Rome: FAO. <https://www.fao.org/4/u3160e/u3160e00.htm>
11. Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). *Crop Evapotranspiration: Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements*. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. <https://www.fao.org/4/x0490e/x0490e00.htm>
12. Falkenmark, M., & Rockstrom, J. (2004). *Balancing Water for Humans and Nature: The New Approach in Ecohydrology*. London: Earthscan.
13. Kijne, J. W., Barker, R., & Molden, D. (Eds.). (2003). *Water Productivity in Agriculture: Limits and Opportunities for Improvement*. Wallingford: CABI. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851996691.0000>
14. Molden, D. (Ed.). (2007). *Water for Food, Water for Life: A Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture*. London: Earthscan and IWMI. <https://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/assessment/>
15. Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511807763>
16. Meinzen-Dick, R. (2007). Beyond panaceas in water institutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(39), 15200-15205. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702296104>
17. Grey, D., & Sadoff, C. W. (2007). Sink or swim? Water security for growth and development. *Water Policy*, 9(6), 545-571. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2007.021>
18. Ward, F. A., & Pulido-Velazquez, M. (2008). Water conservation in irrigation can increase water use. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(47), 18215-18220. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805554105>
19. Wada, Y., van Beek, L. P. H., van Kempen, C. M., et al. (2010). Global depletion of groundwater resources. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, L20402. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL044571>
20. Famiglietti, J. S. (2014). The global groundwater crisis. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 945-948. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2425>
21. Scanlon, B. R., Faunt, C. C., Longuevergne, L., et al. (2012). Groundwater depletion and sustainability of irrigation in the US High Plains and Central Valley. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(24), 9320-9325. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1200311109>
22. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. AQUASTAT: Global Information System on Water and Agriculture. <https://www.fao.org/aquastat/>

23. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification. (2022). Global Land Outlook, Second Edition. Bonn: UNCCD. <https://www.unccd.int/resources/global-land-outlook/glo2>
24. World Bank. (2016). High and Dry: Climate Change, Water, and the Economy. Washington, DC: World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0779-9>
25. Rijsberman, F. R. (2006). Water scarcity: Fact or fiction? *Agricultural Water Management*, 80(1-3), 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2005.07.001>
26. Gleick, P. H. (1993). *Water in Crisis: A Guide to the World's Fresh Water Resources*. New York: Oxford University Press.
27. Pereira, L. S., Cordery, I., & Iacovides, I. (2002). *Coping with Water Scarcity*. Paris: UNESCO-IHP. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/>
28. United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). *Making Peace with Nature: A Scientific Blueprint to Tackle the Climate, Biodiversity and Pollution Emergencies*. Nairobi: UNEP. <https://www.unep.org/resources/making-peace-nature>
29. World Health Organization. (2017). *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality: Fourth Edition Incorporating the First Addendum*. Geneva: WHO. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549950>
30. International Fund for Agricultural Development. (2019). *Water and Rural Development: Approaches for Building Resilience in Dryland Areas*. Rome: IFAD. <https://www.ifad.org/>