

CLIMATE-ADAPTIVE ARCHITECTURE FOR FLOOD-PRONE SETTLEMENTS

Dr. Aditi Sharma

Assistant Professor

Department of Architecture and Planning

School of Built Environment

University of Sustainable Development, New Delhi, India

Abstract

Flood-prone settlements are increasingly exposed to intense rainfall, river overflow, coastal surge, drainage congestion, and changing land-use patterns. In such locations, architecture cannot be limited to the design of individual buildings as isolated objects. It must respond to water movement, ground conditions, local livelihoods, public infrastructure, emergency access, and long-term climate uncertainty. Climate-adaptive architecture therefore combines risk-sensitive site planning, flexible building systems, water-responsive landscapes, and community-based design to reduce damage while preserving everyday liveability.

This review-based study examines the principles and applications of climate-adaptive architecture for settlements that experience recurrent or seasonal flooding. It considers elevated and amphibious construction, flood-resilient materials, safe evacuation routes, water-sensitive public space, drainage-compatible neighbourhood layouts, multifunctional shelters, and the integration of traditional knowledge with contemporary engineering. The study also addresses the importance of designing for controlled inundation rather than relying only on barriers or post-disaster rebuilding.

The analysis indicates that effective flood adaptation depends on coordination across building, street, plot, neighbourhood, and regional scales. Durable solutions require early risk assessment, locally appropriate construction methods, accessible community facilities, maintenance of blue-green infrastructure, land-use controls, and participation by residents who understand seasonal water behaviour. By treating water as a condition to be accommodated as well as managed, climate-adaptive architecture can support safer, more equitable, and more resilient settlements.

Keywords: Climate-adaptive architecture; Flood-prone settlements; Flood resilience; Water-sensitive design; Elevated housing; Blue-green infrastructure; Urban adaptation; Disaster risk reduction; Resilient communities; Nature-based solutions

1. INTRODUCTION

Flooding has become a major spatial challenge for cities, towns, riverine villages, delta settlements, and coastal communities. Rainfall patterns are changing, impervious surfaces are expanding, wetlands are being degraded, and drainage networks are often unable to manage peak flows. These conditions turn ordinary monsoon events or seasonal river fluctuations into disruptions that affect housing, schools, health facilities, transport, water supply, local commerce, and public safety. The built environment plays a decisive role because settlement form can either increase exposure or create practical capacity for safe adaptation. Conventional development has frequently treated water as a nuisance that must be removed from the site as quickly as possible. This approach may produce short-term convenience, but it often transfers water

problems downstream, blocks natural drainage paths, and encourages construction in areas that need storage, infiltration, or seasonal access to water. Flood-prone settlements require a different design logic. Buildings, streets, open spaces, and infrastructure must be planned with an understanding of where water arrives, where it accumulates, how long it remains, and which groups face the highest risk during an event.

Climate-adaptive architecture refers to building and settlement design that anticipates climate-related hazards while enabling daily social and economic life. In flood contexts, adaptation may include raising occupied floors, providing sacrificial or recoverable ground-level spaces, using materials that tolerate wetting and drying, creating rooftop refuge areas, locating essential equipment above expected flood levels, and connecting

homes to safe pedestrian and evacuation networks. At the settlement scale, it also includes retention landscapes, permeable surfaces, restored waterways, accessible shelters, and land-use patterns that protect critical drainage corridors.

The purpose of this paper is to analyse climate-adaptive architecture as an integrated strategy for flood-prone settlements. It reviews conceptual foundations, key design measures, methodological approaches, practical findings, governance needs, and recommendations for improving future housing and settlement development. The study emphasises that adaptation is not achieved through a single elevated building or an isolated drainage project; it emerges from coordinated decisions across buildings, infrastructure, public space, institutions, and communities.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on climate adaptation and flood resilience shows that built environments are shaped by both physical hazard conditions and social capacity. Flood risk is not determined by water depth alone. It is influenced by the location and quality of housing, the reliability of transport and communication systems, tenure security, access to emergency services, household income, and the ability of residents to repair or relocate after an event. Architectural and urban responses must therefore address vulnerability alongside technical performance.

Research on urban resilience has moved from the idea of preventing all disturbance toward the recognition that settlements should be able to absorb, recover from, and adapt to changing conditions. In flood-prone areas, this perspective supports designs that can function during partial inundation, restore quickly after water recedes, and avoid irreversible damage to essential services. It also encourages safe-to-fail systems, such as parks that temporarily store stormwater, streets that channel excess flow without trapping people, and lower-level spaces designed for limited, recoverable flooding.

Studies of water-sensitive urban design and nature-based infrastructure highlight the value of working with catchment processes. Vegetated swales, retention ponds, restored wetlands, permeable pavements, rain gardens, bioswales, and planted corridors can slow

runoff, increase infiltration, filter pollutants, and create amenity space. These measures are most effective when they are connected to building design, site grading, drainage maintenance, and land-use protection rather than being installed as decorative features without hydrological purpose.

Architecture-specific scholarship stresses the importance of adaptable building forms. Raised plinths, stilted structures, floating or amphibious foundations, flood vents, detachable wall panels, protected utility cores, and water-resistant finishes can reduce losses where complete avoidance is impossible. However, the literature also warns that technically sophisticated solutions may fail when they are unaffordable, difficult to maintain, culturally inappropriate, or disconnected from local construction skills. Appropriate adaptation must therefore balance performance, cost, material availability, reparability, and social acceptance.

2.1 MEANING AND SCOPE OF CLIMATE-ADAPTIVE ARCHITECTURE

Climate-adaptive architecture is the practice of designing buildings and inhabited environments to respond to present and anticipated climate conditions. It includes passive environmental design, hazard-sensitive planning, material selection, flexible spatial organisation, and infrastructure coordination. In flood-prone settlements, the scope extends beyond protecting a structure from water. It includes preserving access to homes, keeping sanitation and drinking-water systems functional, safeguarding vulnerable residents, protecting livelihoods, and enabling quick recovery after a flood event.

The approach operates at multiple scales. At the building scale, it concerns foundation type, finished floor level, structural frame, openings, electrical systems, storage, sanitation, and emergency refuge. At the plot scale, it concerns drainage, vegetation, water storage, access routes, and the relationship between the house and the street. At the neighbourhood scale, it concerns street hierarchy, safe gathering areas, community buildings, stormwater pathways, public utilities, and land reserved for water retention or ecological restoration.

The scope also includes existing settlements. Many communities already live with recurrent

flooding and cannot simply be relocated. For such areas, adaptation may involve incremental retrofitting, raised service platforms, improved drainage connections, flood-resilient toilets, community storage, and reinforcement of local emergency networks. The objective is to reduce harm without removing residents from their social ties, employment, cultural landscapes, or access to essential services.

2.2 KEY PRINCIPLES FOR FLOOD-RESPONSIVE SETTLEMENT DESIGN

Flood-responsive design begins with a detailed reading of water. Designers need information on historical flood levels, water velocity, duration of inundation, drainage routes, tidal influence where relevant, soil conditions, and the location of critical services. This understanding should be developed through maps, site observation, local records, engineering studies, and community knowledge. A settlement layout that ignores natural low points, culverts, canals, or seasonal wetlands can unintentionally create new areas of concentrated risk.

A second principle is to distinguish between spaces that must remain dry and spaces that can safely accept temporary water. Critical facilities such as hospitals, emergency shelters, electrical rooms, drinking-water points, and communication systems should be located or protected above defined risk levels. In contrast, playfields, planted corridors, parking areas, open markets, and selected lower-level spaces can be designed for temporary storage or controlled inundation when safety and rapid restoration are ensured.

Third, building systems should be repairable. Flood-resistant construction does not always mean fully waterproof construction. In many contexts, it is more practical to use materials and details that can be cleaned, dried, repaired, or replaced with limited cost. Concrete or treated structural components, moisture-tolerant finishes, elevated electrical outlets, washable wall surfaces, removable furniture, and accessible service lines can reduce the time required for a household or facility to return to use.

Finally, adaptation must be socially inclusive. Older persons, children, people with disabilities, renters, informal workers, and households with limited savings often face

greater difficulties during evacuation and recovery. Accessible routes, clear warning systems, nearby shelters, safe sanitation, lighting, and reliable community support are not secondary features. They are core architectural and planning requirements for equitable flood resilience.

3. OBJECT OF STUDY

The primary object of this study is to examine climate-adaptive architecture for flood-prone settlements and to understand how architectural decisions can reduce exposure, support recovery, and improve everyday environmental performance. The paper focuses on the relationship between flood risk, building design, settlement structure, water management, and community resilience.

The study considers adaptive measures at several levels, including elevated construction, foundation selection, flood-compatible materials, protected utilities, flexible ground floors, safe access routes, water-sensitive landscaping, retention areas, community shelters, and land-use controls. It also examines how these measures interact with local climate, household needs, settlement density, public infrastructure, and institutional capacity.

A further objective is to identify the pathways through which poorly planned construction increases flood vulnerability. These pathways include building on drainage corridors, sealing natural ground, placing electrical and sanitation systems at low levels, blocking evacuation routes, locating essential services in exposed areas, and failing to maintain local water infrastructure. The study also identifies practical strategies that can strengthen adaptation through design guidance, retrofitting, community participation, and long-term maintenance.

3.1 BUILDING-SCALE ADAPTATION MEASURES

This subsection examines design measures that improve the ability of individual homes and public buildings to withstand, accommodate, or recover from flooding.

- Raised finished-floor levels, stilts, piers, or reinforced plinths selected according to local flood depth, soil condition, and construction practice.
- Flood-compatible lower levels that can be used for storage, parking, workshops, or

other functions that are easier to restore after temporary inundation.

- Elevated electrical panels, sockets, water pumps, communication equipment, and critical mechanical systems above expected flood levels.
- Use of durable, cleanable, and moisture-tolerant materials in lower zones, together with wall, floor, and joinery details that support drying and repair.
- Protected vertical access, rooftop refuge points, and safe openings that allow occupants to move to higher levels when external evacuation is delayed.
- Design of toilets, septic systems, water tanks, and waste-storage facilities to reduce contamination and maintain basic hygiene during high-water periods.

The purpose of these measures is not to create a universal building type, but to match each building response to the local pattern of water, available materials, household resources, and safety needs.

3.2 NEIGHBOURHOOD AND PUBLIC-SPACE ADAPTATION

This subsection evaluates how settlement layouts, public spaces, and circulation systems can reduce flood impacts across a wider area.

- Protection and restoration of natural drainage channels, wetlands, ponds, floodplains, and low-lying storage areas that regulate water movement.
- Street networks designed with clear flow paths, raised pedestrian routes, bridges or culverts where needed, and safe connections to schools, clinics, markets, and shelters.
- Blue-green infrastructure such as rain gardens, bioswales, planted retention areas, permeable courts, and shaded open spaces that manage runoff while improving daily comfort.
- Strategic location of community shelters, emergency supply points, health facilities, and communication nodes on accessible and comparatively safer ground.
- Flexible public spaces that can function as recreation areas or markets in normal conditions and as temporary water-storage or relief-support areas during floods.
- Plot and building regulations that prevent obstruction of drainage routes and

discourage new construction in zones of severe or repeated hazard.

These measures demonstrate that flood adaptation is a collective spatial task. A household may elevate its floor, but it remains vulnerable if the surrounding street, drainage system, sanitation network, and evacuation route fail together.

3.3 GOVERNANCE, COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION, AND MAINTENANCE

This subsection considers the institutional and social arrangements required to sustain climate-adaptive design.

- Inclusion of flood-risk information and climate projections in building approvals, layout plans, housing schemes, and infrastructure contracts.
- Participatory mapping with residents to document historical flood levels, blocked drains, safe routes, local shelters, and households requiring additional support.
- Training for architects, engineers, masons, contractors, municipal staff, and community groups on flood-resilient construction and maintenance practices.
- Regular inspection and cleaning of drains, culverts, retention areas, pumps, public toilets, and water-sensitive landscape systems before seasonal rainfall periods.
- Clear maintenance responsibility, local reporting channels, and budget allocations for small repairs that prevent minor drainage failures from becoming major hazards.
- Post-flood review processes that record building performance, service disruption, community experience, and lessons for future design improvements.

Governance is essential because even well-designed physical systems deteriorate when their operation, maintenance, and accountability are unclear.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a review-based, descriptive, analytical, and comparative methodology to examine climate-adaptive architecture for flood-prone settlements. The approach brings together literature on architecture, urban planning, flood-risk management, climate adaptation, water-sensitive design, disaster resilience, and community-based development.

The study is based on secondary evidence from scholarly publications, policy documents, international disaster-risk frameworks, technical design guidance, urban resilience reports, and documented settlement practices. The material is interpreted through a thematic

framework that considers hazard conditions, building adaptation, neighbourhood design, water management, social vulnerability, institutional responsibility, and long-term maintenance.

4.1 RESEARCH DESIGN

Component	Description
Research Type	Review-based, descriptive, analytical, comparative
Approach	Climate-adaptive architecture and flood-resilient settlement analysis
Data Source	Scholarly literature, climate reports, policy documents, technical guidance, and documented settlement practices
Analysis Method	Thematic synthesis and comparative assessment of building, neighbourhood, and governance measures
Focus Area	Flood-prone settlements, climate-adaptive buildings, blue-green infrastructure, community resilience, and maintenance systems

4.2 SOURCES AND ANALYTICAL CATEGORIES

The study considers the following evidence categories for evaluation:

- Climate adaptation, flood-risk reduction, and resilient-settlement frameworks.
- Architectural and planning literature on elevated, amphibious, flood-compatible, and retrofittable building systems.
- Research on water-sensitive urban design, nature-based solutions, drainage, wetlands, and blue-green infrastructure.
- Case-based discussions of community participation, recovery, housing vulnerability, and local maintenance systems.
- Technical guidance on building siting, materials, utilities, sanitation, emergency access, and post-flood repair.

Each evidence source is analysed on the basis of:

- Flood condition, exposure pathway, and settlement context addressed by the source.
- Architectural, landscape, infrastructure, or governance measure proposed.
- Potential benefits for safety, functionality, recovery, affordability, and environmental quality.
- Implementation constraints related to cost, skills, land tenure, maintenance, or institutional coordination.
- Relevance for new development, incremental upgrading, retrofitting, or post-disaster reconstruction.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The thematic analysis shows that flood resilience is strongest when adaptation is layered rather than dependent on a single protective element. A raised floor, for example, can reduce direct water entry into a dwelling, but it cannot protect residents when access routes are cut off, sanitation systems fail, or electricity is unavailable. Similarly, a retention park can slow runoff, but its benefits are limited when surrounding plots continue to block drainage channels or discharge waste into watercourses. Effective adaptation therefore requires building, plot, street, drainage, and community systems to work together.

At the building scale, elevation remains an important strategy, especially in areas with predictable seasonal flooding or repeated shallow inundation. However, the analysis indicates that elevation must be accompanied by safe stairs or ramps, stable foundations, protected utilities, and accessible refuge options. In dense or low-income settlements, fully raising an existing building may be difficult. Incremental measures such as lifting electrical systems, improving wall finishes, introducing flood vents, raising storage, and protecting sanitation can still significantly reduce losses and shorten recovery time.

The analysis also highlights the importance of adaptable ground-level space. Buildings designed with sacrificial, open, or easily repairable lower zones can perform better than structures that treat the entire ground floor as permanently dry living space. This approach is particularly relevant for community buildings,

markets, parking areas, storage spaces, and mixed-use structures. The design must remain safe: flow velocities, debris impact, contamination, structural loading, and occupant access must be evaluated before an area is intentionally permitted to flood.

At the settlement scale, blue-green infrastructure emerges as a central adaptation measure. Vegetated drainage corridors, ponds, floodable parks, permeable surfaces, restored waterways, and rainwater capture systems can reduce pressure on conventional drains while improving microclimate, biodiversity, and public space quality. Their effectiveness depends on correct grading, connection to wider drainage systems, regular maintenance, and prevention of encroachment. These measures should not be used as a substitute for essential engineering works where high flood depth or rapid flows require stronger protection.

The analysis further suggests that social and institutional factors determine whether architectural measures become durable. Communities need clear warning communication, accessible evacuation plans, safe shelters, storage for documents and supplies, and trusted local coordination. Municipal agencies need updated risk maps, enforceable development controls, maintenance budgets, and procurement standards that require flood-resilient design. Without these arrangements, isolated projects may perform well initially but become ineffective as drains clog, public spaces are encroached upon, or buildings are altered without risk-sensitive guidance.

Finally, the evidence indicates that adaptation should be evaluated through both safety and liveability. A settlement that is heavily walled off from water may reduce certain risks while losing access, ventilation, public space, ecological services, or local livelihoods. Climate-adaptive architecture seeks a balance: it protects essential functions, provides controlled pathways for excess water, supports day-to-day use, and allows residents to recover quickly after unavoidable events. This balance is particularly important in places where flooding is part of the seasonal landscape rather than an entirely exceptional occurrence.

6. DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that climate-adaptive architecture should be treated as a core component of settlement planning rather than a specialised response added after a disaster. Flood risk is shaped by long-term decisions about land filling, road levels, drainage networks, building permissions, housing density, infrastructure placement, and protection of natural water systems. When these decisions are made without climate and hydrological awareness, later retrofitting becomes expensive and socially difficult. Early integration of adaptation can reduce both future losses and the need for repeated emergency repairs.

One important observation is the difference between resisting water and accommodating water. In locations exposed to occasional shallow flooding, complete exclusion may be impractical or unaffordable. Architectural strategies that allow certain spaces to flood safely, protect critical functions, and enable rapid restoration can be more realistic. In areas exposed to deep, fast, or contaminated floodwater, stronger avoidance, relocation, or engineered protection may be necessary. Design decisions should therefore be based on site-specific hazard characteristics rather than a single universal model.

The discussion also reveals the limits of technology-led solutions. Amphibious foundations, sensor systems, modular barriers, and advanced materials can be useful, but they are not automatically appropriate for every settlement. Their success depends on local repair capacity, cost, availability of parts, institutional support, and residents' ability to operate and maintain them. Low-tech and locally familiar measures, such as raised platforms, accessible storage, shaded elevated verandas, reinforced plinths, and community-managed drainage, may provide more durable value when they are carefully designed and supported by reliable governance.

A further issue is equity. The residents most exposed to flooding may live in informal, low-income, or poorly serviced areas where land tenure is insecure and infrastructure investment is limited. Adaptation strategies that focus only on formal developments can widen existing inequalities. Public programmes should prioritise safe upgrading,

secure service access, inclusive consultation, accessible shelters, and financing mechanisms that allow households to adopt practical resilience measures without displacement or unsustainable debt.

Climate-adaptive architecture also provides an opportunity to improve the quality of public space. Floodable landscapes, shaded waterways, restored ponds, permeable streets, and planted corridors can combine risk reduction with recreation, biodiversity, cooling, and local identity. When properly planned, these spaces make adaptation visible in daily life and can encourage collective care for water systems. The objective is not to romanticise flooding, but to design settlements that understand water, reduce avoidable harm, and maintain dignity under changing climate conditions.

7. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that climate-adaptive architecture is essential for flood-prone settlements because it connects building safety with water management, public infrastructure, social inclusion, and long-term recovery. As climate variability and urban development intensify pressure on drainage systems and floodplains, conventional construction approaches are increasingly insufficient. Flood resilience requires architecture that anticipates inundation, protects critical functions, and supports everyday life before, during, and after high-water events.

The analysis identifies several recurring adaptation priorities: risk-sensitive siting, appropriate elevation, flood-compatible materials, protected utilities, adaptable lower levels, blue-green infrastructure, safe access networks, accessible shelters, community participation, and routine maintenance. These measures work best when they are coordinated across scales and adapted to local water conditions, construction practices, social needs, and institutional capacity.

Future settlement development should move beyond reactive rebuilding toward planned adaptation. By integrating hydrological knowledge, climate information, architectural design, local experience, and governance accountability, flood-prone communities can reduce losses, improve recovery, and create healthier, more liveable environments. Climate-adaptive architecture is therefore not

only a technical response to hazard; it is a framework for equitable and resilient settlement development.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the study, the following recommendations may strengthen climate-adaptive architecture for flood-prone settlements:

- Use local flood-history maps, site surveys, drainage studies, and community knowledge before approving building layouts or housing projects.
- Set risk-sensitive finished-floor levels and protect critical utilities, sanitation systems, water points, and communication equipment above identified flood levels.
- Adopt building details and materials that tolerate wetting, permit drying, and allow repair without unnecessary demolition or replacement.
- Protect natural drainage paths, wetlands, ponds, canals, and flood-storage areas from encroachment and unplanned filling.
- Integrate blue-green infrastructure, permeable surfaces, rainwater capture, vegetated swales, and floodable public spaces into neighbourhood design.
- Provide continuous, accessible evacuation routes linking homes to safe shelters, transport points, health facilities, and emergency services.
- Prioritise incremental retrofitting for existing vulnerable housing, especially where relocation is not feasible or socially acceptable.
- Include flood-resilient design requirements in public housing, building approvals, infrastructure contracts, and post-disaster reconstruction programmes.
- Train architects, planners, engineers, masons, contractors, municipal staff, and community organisations in practical flood-adaptation measures.
- Establish routine maintenance, seasonal inspections, clear reporting channels, and post-flood performance reviews for buildings, drains, public spaces, and utility systems.
- Ensure that adaptation programmes are inclusive of persons with disabilities, older residents, children, renters, informal workers, and households with limited resources.

- Support locally appropriate design innovation through pilot projects, community demonstration sites, technical guidance, and affordable finance for resilient upgrading.

9. REFERENCES

1. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2023). *Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report*. IPCC.
3. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2015). *Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030*. United Nations.
4. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2022). *Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction 2022: Our World at Risk*. UNDRR.
5. United Nations Human Settlements Programme. (2020). *World Cities Report 2020: The Value of Sustainable Urbanization*. UN-Habitat.
6. United Nations Human Settlements Programme. (2022). *World Cities Report 2022: Envisaging the Future of Cities*. UN-Habitat.
7. World Bank. (2012). *Cities and Flooding: A Guide to Integrated Urban Flood Risk Management for the 21st Century*. World Bank.
8. World Bank. (2019). *Lifelines: The Resilient Infrastructure Opportunity*. World Bank.
9. Ahern, J. (2011). From fail-safe to safe-to-fail: Sustainability and resilience in the new urban world. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 100(4), 341-343.
10. Liao, K. H. (2012). A theory on urban resilience to floods: A basis for alternative planning practices. *Ecology and Society*, 17(4), 48.
11. Meerow, S., Newell, J. P., and Stults, M. (2016). Defining urban resilience: A review. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 147, 38-49.
12. Hamin, E. M., and Gurran, N. (2009). Urban form and climate change: Balancing adaptation and mitigation in the U.S. and Australia. *Habitat International*, 33(3), 238-245.
13. Wilby, R. L., and Keenan, R. (2012). Adapting to flood risk under climate change. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 36(3), 348-378.
14. Douglas, I., Alam, K., Maghenda, M., McDonnell, Y., McLean, L., and Campbell, J. (2010). Unjust waters: Climate change, flooding and the urban poor in Africa. *Environment and Urbanization*, 22(1), 187-205.
15. Wong, T. H. F., and Brown, R. R. (2009). The water sensitive city: Principles for practice. *Water Science and Technology*, 60(3), 673-682.
16. Ashley, R., Lundy, L., Ward, S., Shaffer, P., Walker, L., Morgan, C., Saul, A., and Wong, T. (2013). Water-sensitive urban design: Opportunities for the UK. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Municipal Engineer*, 166(2), 65-76.
17. Jha, A. K., Bloch, R., and Lamond, J. (2012). *Cities and Flooding: A Guide to Integrated Urban Flood Risk Management for the 21st Century*. World Bank.
18. Vale, L. J., and Campanella, T. J. (Eds.). (2005). *The Resilient City: How Modern Cities Recover from Disaster*. Oxford University Press.
19. Pelling, M. (2011). *Adaptation to Climate Change: From Resilience to Transformation*. Routledge.
20. Moser, S. C., and Ekstrom, J. A. (2010). A framework to diagnose barriers to climate change adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(51), 22026-22031.
21. Adger, W. N. (2006). Vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change*, 16(3), 268-281.
22. Cutter, S. L., Barnes, L., Berry, M., Burton, C., Evans, E., Tate, E., and Webb, J. (2008). A place-based model for understanding community resilience to natural disasters. *Global Environmental Change*, 18(4), 598-606.
23. Berke, P. R., and Campanella, T. J. (2006). Planning for postdisaster resiliency. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 604(1), 192-207.
24. Beatley, T. (2012). *Planning for Coastal Resilience: Best Practices for Calamitous Times*. Island Press.

25. Newman, P., Beatley, T., and Boyer, H. (2009). *Resilient Cities: Responding to Peak Oil and Climate Change*. Island Press.
26. Roaf, S., Crichton, D., and Nicol, F. (2009). *Adapting Buildings and Cities for Climate Change*. Architectural Press.
27. Olgyay, V. (1963). *Design with Climate: Bioclimatic Approach to Architectural Regionalism*. Princeton University Press.
28. McHarg, I. L. (1969). *Design with Nature*. Natural History Press.
29. Gersonius, B., Nasruddin, F., and Zevenbergen, C. (2012). Developing a resilient urban flood risk management strategy. *Water*, 4(3), 683-700.
30. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2012). *Flood Resilience: A Guide for Communities and Practitioners*. IFRC.