

EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION AT WORK

Prof. Nutankumar S. Thingujam

Professor

Department of Psychology
Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim, India

Abstract

Workplace conflict is an unavoidable feature of organisational life because employees differ in their roles, interests, priorities, values, communication patterns and access to resources. Conflict can stimulate learning and improve decisions when it is addressed constructively, but it can also damage trust, reduce collaboration and create emotional strain when it becomes personal, defensive or unresolved. Emotional intelligence is therefore increasingly recognised as an important capability for preventing unnecessary escalation and supporting fair, respectful and solution-focused conflict resolution at work.

This study examines the relationship between emotional intelligence and conflict resolution in workplace settings through a qualitative, document-based review of organisational behaviour literature, conflict-management models and research on emotional competence. The analysis considers self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy and social skills as practical resources that influence how employees interpret disagreement, communicate concerns, respond to stress and negotiate workable solutions.

The findings indicate that emotionally intelligent employees and leaders are more likely to identify early signs of tension, separate issues from personalities, listen actively, regulate reactive behaviour and select collaborative responses where interests can be integrated. Emotional intelligence does not eliminate conflict or require people to avoid difficult conversations. Instead, it supports the capacity to express disagreement clearly, understand the perspectives of others, manage strong emotions and pursue outcomes that protect both performance and working relationships.

The paper proposes a workplace framework that combines emotional awareness, respectful communication, perspective-taking, procedural fairness, constructive problem-solving and post-conflict learning. The framework can assist organisations in leadership development, team management, employee relations, mediation and the design of healthier conflict-resolution systems.

Keywords: Emotional Intelligence, Conflict Resolution, Workplace Conflict, Employee Relations, Leadership, Empathy, Self-Regulation, Communication, Negotiation, Organisational Behaviour

1. INTRODUCTION

Conflict is present in every workplace because organisations bring together people who must coordinate work while holding different responsibilities, expectations, identities and interests. Employees may disagree about task priorities, deadlines, resource allocation, quality standards, recognition, authority or the distribution of workload. Such disagreements are not always harmful. When they are managed with clarity and respect, they can reveal overlooked information, improve decision quality and encourage innovation. When they become hostile or remain unresolved, however, conflict can create anxiety, silence, avoidance, absenteeism and reduced commitment.

The practical challenge for organisations is not simply to reduce the number of disagreements. It is to develop the ability to distinguish productive task conflict from damaging relationship conflict, and to respond before frustration becomes contempt or withdrawal. Conflict resolution requires more than formal rules or an occasional mediation meeting. It depends on daily interpersonal behaviour: how people give feedback, interpret tone, respond to disappointment, ask questions, apologise, acknowledge impact and repair trust after a difficult exchange.

Emotional intelligence provides a useful lens for understanding these behaviours. It refers to the capacity to recognise emotions, understand their meaning, regulate emotional responses and use emotional information constructively

in social situations. At work, emotionally intelligent behaviour may involve noticing that a colleague is becoming defensive, pausing before responding to a provocative comment, naming a concern without blame, checking assumptions, or adapting a communication approach to the needs of a team member. These actions can materially influence whether a disagreement moves towards problem-solving or escalation.

The importance of emotional intelligence has increased in contemporary organisations where collaboration is often cross-functional, diverse, digitally mediated and time-sensitive. Hybrid work, remote communication and rapid decision cycles can make misunderstandings harder to detect and repair. Written messages may lack contextual cues, meetings may be shorter, and informal opportunities for relationship-building may be limited. In these conditions, employees need deliberate skills for clarifying intent, managing stress and maintaining respectful communication across different locations, roles and power positions.

This paper investigates the contribution of emotional intelligence to conflict resolution at work. It reviews key theories, identifies the mechanisms through which emotional competence influences conflict behaviour and develops a practical framework for organisations. The purpose is not to suggest that emotional intelligence can solve every structural problem. Workload, unclear roles, unfair systems and poor leadership also generate conflict. Rather, the study shows how emotional intelligence can help individuals and teams address unavoidable differences with greater fairness, insight and discipline.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Early work on emotional intelligence described it as the ability to monitor one's own and others' feelings and emotions, to discriminate among them and to use this information to guide thinking and action. Later research developed ability-based, trait-based and competency-based perspectives. Although these approaches differ in measurement and emphasis, they share the view that emotional capabilities influence social judgement,

relationship quality and adaptive behaviour. In organisational settings, emotional intelligence is frequently discussed in relation to leadership, communication, teamwork, job performance and employee well-being.

Conflict-management research similarly recognises that disagreements are shaped by both substantive issues and interpersonal processes. The Thomas-Kilmann framework identifies competing, collaborating, compromising, avoiding and accommodating as common conflict-handling modes. None of these modes is universally correct; their usefulness depends on the issue, relationship, time pressure, power balance and consequences of the decision. Constructive conflict management requires the ability to assess the situation rather than reacting automatically from anger, fear, pride or a desire to win.

Studies of team and organisational conflict distinguish task conflict from relationship conflict. Task conflict concerns ideas, methods or goals, while relationship conflict involves personal tension, dislike or identity-based friction. The latter is generally more disruptive because it narrows attention, encourages attribution of bad intent and makes collaborative problem-solving more difficult. Emotional intelligence can support the conversion of emotionally charged interaction into a discussion of observable concerns, needs and alternatives. It can also make employees more capable of receiving criticism without interpreting every disagreement as a personal attack.

Research on emotionally intelligent conflict behaviour emphasises several mechanisms. Self-awareness enables individuals to identify their own triggers and assumptions. Self-regulation helps them control impulsive reactions and choose an appropriate response. Empathy improves perspective-taking and reduces simplistic judgments about another person's motives. Social skills support dialogue, feedback, negotiation and repair. Together, these capabilities create conditions in which people can discuss hard issues without sacrificing dignity, fairness or the long-term relationship.

Table 1: Summary of Key Literature on Emotional Intelligence and Workplace Conflict Resolution

S. No.	Author(s)	Year	Methodology	Key Focus	Major Findings
1	Salovey & Mayer	1990	Conceptual article	Emotional intelligence	Emotional information can guide thought, judgement and social behaviour.
2	Gross	1998	Integrative review	Emotion regulation	People can influence emotional responses through attention, appraisal and response strategies.
3	Thomas	1992	Theoretical update	Conflict-handling modes	Conflict responses vary between competing, collaborating, compromising, avoiding and accommodating.
4	Jehn	1995	Field study	Intragroup conflict	Task and relationship conflict have different implications for group outcomes.
5	Amason	1996	Organisational study	Functional conflict	Constructive disagreement can strengthen decision quality when personal animosity is controlled.
6	Jordan & Troth	2002	Applied review	EI and conflict resolution	Emotional competence supports constructive workplace responses to disagreement.
7	Jordan & Troth	2004	Team study	Problem-solving conflict	Higher emotional intelligence is associated with more collaborative conflict resolution.
8	De Dreu & Weingart	2003	Meta-analysis	Team conflict	Relationship conflict is strongly linked with lower satisfaction and weaker performance outcomes.
9	Lopes et al.	2006	Organisational research	Social interaction	Emotion regulation and perception are related to social functioning and relationship quality.
10	O'Boyle et al.	2011	Meta-analysis	EI and job performance	Emotional intelligence shows a positive relationship with workplace performance indicators.
11	Schlaerth et al.	2013	Meta-analysis	Leader conflict management	Emotionally intelligent leaders are more likely to use constructive conflict-management approaches.
12	Côté	2014	Annual review	EI in organisations	Emotional intelligence can influence leadership, cooperation, negotiation and workplace relationships.

3. OBJECT OF STUDY

The object of this study is the role of emotional intelligence in the prevention, management and resolution of conflict at work. The study examines how employees, supervisors, team leaders and human resource professionals use emotional awareness and interpersonal skill when conflict arises within teams, across departments or between employees and managers. The focus is on the quality of conflict behaviour rather than on the elimination of all disagreement.

The research considers common workplace situations, including disagreement over work priorities, performance feedback, resource allocation, role boundaries, communication style, recognition, scheduling, organisational change and perceived unfair treatment. It also considers how emotional intelligence affects the choice of conflict-handling strategy, the ability to regulate anger and anxiety, the accuracy of perspective-taking and the capacity to restore cooperation after a difficult interaction.

The study recognises that conflict has structural as well as interpersonal causes. A person cannot resolve unclear policy, inadequate staffing or unequal authority through empathy alone. Nevertheless, emotional intelligence matters because structural problems are experienced and discussed through human relationships. It affects whether people raise concerns early, how they make sense of another person's actions, and whether they can negotiate a response that is both principled and workable.

KEY POINTS OF OBJECT OF STUDY

- To examine how self-awareness influences the recognition of personal triggers, assumptions and emotional reactions during workplace disagreement.
- To assess how self-regulation can prevent impulsive, hostile or avoidant responses in emotionally charged situations.
- To analyse the role of empathy and perspective-taking in understanding another employee's concerns, pressures and intentions.
- To examine how communication skills support respectful feedback, active listening, clarification and negotiation.

- To evaluate the relationship between emotional intelligence and collaborative, problem-solving approaches to conflict.
- To distinguish constructive task disagreement from relationship conflict, personal hostility and unresolved resentment.
- To consider the role of managers in creating psychological safety, procedural fairness and appropriate escalation pathways.
- To evaluate conflict-resolution challenges in remote, hybrid and digitally mediated work arrangements.
- To identify organisational practices that strengthen emotional competence through training, coaching, mediation and leadership development.
- To develop a practical framework for emotionally intelligent conflict resolution at work.

Table 2: Object of Study Framework

S. No.	Study Component	Description	Purpose
1	Emotional awareness	Recognition of personal emotions, triggers and stress responses during disagreement.	Improve self-management before behaviour becomes reactive.
2	Self-regulation	Ability to pause, regulate tone and choose responses that fit the situation.	Reduce escalation, aggression and unproductive avoidance.
3	Empathy	Understanding another person's perspective, emotions and practical concerns.	Support listening, respect and collaborative problem-solving.
4	Communication quality	Use of clear language, feedback, questions, summaries and non-blaming statements.	Make issues discussable and reduce misunderstanding.
5	Conflict style	Choice among collaborating, compromising, competing, avoiding and accommodating.	Match the response to the issue, relationship and urgency.
6	Managerial fairness	Consistency, transparency and respectful handling of concerns by supervisors.	Build trust in conflict-resolution procedures.
7	Team climate	Psychological safety, inclusion and norms for respectful disagreement.	Allow concerns to be raised before they become entrenched.
8	Organisational support	Training, coaching, mediation, grievance channels and policy guidance.	Provide skill development and reliable routes for resolution.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a qualitative, document-based research design. It combines conceptual analysis of emotional intelligence with a comparative review of organisational behaviour research, conflict-management models and workplace practice literature. This approach is appropriate because the study seeks to identify the emotional, behavioural and organisational conditions that make conflict resolution more constructive. Rather than claiming statistical generalisation from one organisation or survey, the paper synthesises established concepts and evidence that can guide managers, teams and human resource professionals.

4.1 RESEARCH DESIGN

- Study Type: Qualitative and document-based analysis
- Approach: Conceptual and comparative review of emotional intelligence and workplace conflict literature

- Unit of Analysis: Employee interactions, team disagreements, manager-employee conflict and organisational conflict-resolution practices
- Source Categories: Scholarly articles, books, organisational behaviour models and applied human resource guidance
- Core Dimensions: Self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy, communication, conflict style, fairness and relationship repair
- Analytical Goal: Develop a practical framework for emotionally intelligent workplace conflict resolution

4.2 METHODOLOGICAL STEPS

- Step 1: Define emotional intelligence and distinguish major conceptual approaches used in workplace research.
- Step 2: Identify common sources and forms of conflict in organisational settings.

- Step 3: Review conflict-handling styles and the conditions under which they are constructive or harmful.
- Step 4: Analyse how emotional awareness, self-regulation and empathy influence interpretation, communication and decision-making.
- Step 5: Compare individual, team and leadership-level responses to workplace disagreement.
- Step 6: Examine the distinction between task conflict, process conflict and relationship conflict.
- Step 7: Identify high-risk patterns such as escalation, blame, avoidance, hostile attribution and emotional contagion.
- Step 8: Develop practical controls, questions and learning practices for organisations seeking more constructive conflict resolution.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis is based on thematic comparison of the emotional and interpersonal conditions that influence workplace conflict. The analysis considers how employees interpret an event, experience emotions, communicate their position and select a response. These stages are connected. A rushed interpretation can lead to anger; anger can affect tone and listening; poor listening can make the other person defensive; and defensiveness can convert a solvable work problem into a relationship dispute. Emotional intelligence becomes relevant at each stage because it supports more accurate appraisal, better regulation and more deliberate communication.

5.1 SELF-AWARENESS AND SELF-REGULATION ANALYSIS

Self-awareness is the starting point for emotionally intelligent conflict resolution. Employees often enter conflict with assumptions about fairness, competence, respect or intent. A delayed response from a colleague may be interpreted as disregard; a direct comment may be experienced as criticism; a change in priorities may feel like a loss of status or control. Without self-awareness, these interpretations can be treated as facts. The employee may respond to a perceived personal threat rather than to the actual issue that needs to be clarified.

A self-aware employee can name the emotional reaction before acting on it. This may involve recognising anger, embarrassment, anxiety, disappointment or resentment, and asking what expectation or value has been affected. Such reflection does not require a person to suppress legitimate concerns. It allows the concern to be expressed more precisely. For example, an employee can move from 'You never respect my work' to 'I was concerned when the project deadline changed without discussion because my team had already committed resources to the earlier plan.'

Self-regulation complements awareness by enabling an individual to choose a response rather than simply discharge emotion. Useful practices include pausing before replying, requesting time to prepare, lowering the pace of conversation, using neutral language and separating observable facts from interpretations. In high-pressure situations, managers can model regulation by acknowledging tension, summarising the issue and setting a clear process for the discussion. These small interventions can prevent a temporary emotional spike from becoming a long-term relational rupture.

KEY OBSERVATION:

- Conflict responses improve when employees can identify their emotional triggers and distinguish feelings from verified facts.
- Regulation should not mean silence or passive acceptance; it should support clear, proportionate and respectful expression of concerns.
- A pause, a question or a request for clarification can interrupt escalation and create space for more accurate appraisal.

5.2 EMPATHY, PERSPECTIVE-TAKING AND COMMUNICATION ANALYSIS

Empathy is central to conflict resolution because disagreement is often intensified by incomplete understanding of another person's pressures, constraints or intentions. Empathy does not mean agreement, nor does it require abandoning one's own interests. It means making a serious effort to understand how the other person sees the situation and why the matter may be significant to them. In practice, this can involve asking open questions, reflecting back what has been heard and

checking whether a summary is accurate before responding with a counter-position.

Emotionally intelligent communication is also specific and behaviour-focused. It avoids global labels such as 'careless,' 'difficult' or 'unprofessional,' which invite defensiveness and damage dignity. Instead, it identifies the observable behaviour, explains the impact and requests a practical change. The language of impact is especially useful: 'When the meeting is cancelled at short notice, the team loses preparation time. Can we agree on a notice period?' This approach keeps attention on a solvable process rather than on character judgment.

Active listening strengthens this process. Listening is not merely waiting for a chance to reply; it includes attending to content, emotion and unspoken concerns. An employee may argue about a deadline while the underlying issue is recognition, autonomy or fear of failure. A manager who hears only the stated demand may impose a quick solution that does not address the real tension. A manager who listens for both task and relational concerns is more likely to design a response that employees regard as fair.

KEY OBSERVATION:

- Empathy allows employees to challenge ideas without dismissing the people who hold them.
- Behaviour-focused language reduces personal blame and makes feedback easier to receive.
- Active listening and accurate summarising increase the likelihood that parties will see the process as fair.

5.3 CONFLICT STYLE AND COLLABORATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING ANALYSIS

Emotional intelligence affects not only what people feel but also the conflict strategy they select. A competing response may be necessary where safety, ethics or urgent compliance is at stake. Avoidance may be appropriate when emotions are too high for

productive conversation or when the issue is trivial. Accommodation can preserve goodwill when the concern is more important to the other person. However, many workplace conflicts require collaboration because the parties are interdependent and must continue working together after the immediate disagreement.

Collaborative problem-solving begins with the recognition that positions are not always the same as underlying interests. Two departments may argue over a budget allocation, yet one may primarily need predictability while the other needs flexibility. A manager can move the conversation forward by asking what each party is trying to protect, what constraints are non-negotiable and which options could meet both needs. Emotional intelligence makes this inquiry more effective because it reduces the need to defend status and makes room for curiosity.

The analysis indicates that collaboration is most effective when it is paired with clear process discipline. Parties need an agreed issue statement, relevant information, defined decision authority and realistic follow-up. Emotional skill without structure can become an endless discussion, while structure without emotional skill can feel cold or coercive. Constructive conflict resolution requires both: an interpersonal climate in which people can speak honestly and a practical process that moves the group towards decisions, responsibilities and review.

KEY OBSERVATION:

- No single conflict style is suitable for every situation; emotionally intelligent employees assess context before choosing a response.
- Collaboration becomes possible when the discussion shifts from fixed positions to underlying interests and constraints.
- A fair process requires both respectful interaction and clear decision-making arrangements.

Table 3: Emotional Intelligence Evaluation Matrix for Workplace Conflict Resolution

Emotional Dimension	Primary Risk	Constructive Practice	Evidence / Control
Self-awareness	Unrecognised triggers, assumptions or perceived disrespect.	Identify emotional reactions and separate facts from interpretations.	Reflection prompts; coaching notes; conflict debriefs.

Self-regulation	Impulsive anger, withdrawal, hostile tone or retaliatory behaviour.	Pause, regulate tone, request time and select proportionate language.	Manager observation; communication norms; training practice.
Empathy	Dismissal of another person's concerns or motives.	Use perspective-taking, open questions and accurate summaries.	Meeting records; peer feedback; mediation notes.
Communication	Blame, vague criticism, interruption and defensive escalation.	Use behaviour-focused feedback, active listening and clear requests.	Conversation guides; team agreements; feedback review.
Conflict strategy	Rigid competing or habitual avoidance.	Select style according to urgency, relationship, power and impact.	Conflict assessment; manager coaching; case review.
Procedural fairness	Perceived bias, inconsistent treatment or unclear escalation.	Explain process, apply policies consistently and document decisions.	Grievance records; HR review; transparent criteria.
Relationship repair	Lingering resentment, silence and damaged collaboration.	Acknowledge impact, apologise where appropriate and agree follow-up actions.	Follow-up meetings; team pulse checks; recurrence tracking.

5.4 LEADERSHIP, POWER AND FAIRNESS ANALYSIS

The role of leaders is especially important because managers influence both the emotional climate of a team and the formal conditions under which conflict is resolved. Employees are more likely to raise concerns early when leaders respond without ridicule, retaliation or automatic defensiveness. They are more likely to accept an unfavourable outcome when they believe they were heard, the process was consistent and the reasons for the decision were explained. Emotional intelligence at the leadership level therefore contributes to procedural fairness as well as interpersonal respect.

Power differences require particular attention. A disagreement between a supervisor and a junior employee cannot be treated as an entirely equal conversation because the junior employee may fear consequences for performance ratings, opportunities or job security. Emotionally intelligent leaders recognise this pressure and make it safer for employees to disagree. They avoid forcing immediate responses, invite evidence, use neutral language and clarify that respectful dissent is welcome. Human resource teams can reinforce this by providing confidential advice, mediation options and protection against retaliation.

Fairness also requires attention to cultural, gendered and role-based differences in how emotion is expressed and interpreted. Directness may be seen as confidence in one person and aggression in another. Quietness may be misread as lack of commitment when it reflects caution or a different communication preference. Managers should avoid simplistic judgments about emotional style and focus instead on the quality of behaviour, the clarity of communication and the impact on collaboration. This approach reduces the risk that emotional intelligence is used as a vague label for conformity.

KEY OBSERVATION:

- Emotionally intelligent leadership supports psychological safety by making it possible to raise concerns without unnecessary fear.
- Power imbalances must be recognised explicitly; conflict processes should not assume equal influence or equal freedom to speak.
- Fairness depends on consistent procedures, transparent reasoning and sensitivity to different communication styles.

5.5 HYBRID AND DIGITAL WORKPLACE CONFLICT ANALYSIS

Hybrid and digital work arrangements can alter the way conflict develops. Text-based

communication may remove facial expressions and tone, encouraging people to infer intent from short messages or delayed replies. Video meetings can produce fatigue and reduce opportunities for informal repair. Distributed teams may also face time-zone pressure, uneven access to information and different expectations about responsiveness. These conditions can make minor coordination problems feel more personal than they are.

Emotionally intelligent digital conflict management requires deliberate clarity. Employees should avoid resolving high-emotion disagreements through long message threads when a conversation would be more effective. They can state intent, identify the issue, ask for a suitable time to talk and summarise agreements afterwards. Leaders can establish shared norms for response times, meeting behaviour, escalation and documentation. Such norms do not remove emotional complexity, but they reduce ambiguity and help employees interpret one another more generously.

The analysis suggests that remote conflict resolution should combine human connection with practical structure. A brief check-in at the beginning of a difficult meeting, a clear agenda, time for each party to speak and a written summary of next steps can improve both emotional safety and accountability. Where conflict is persistent or involves serious allegations, organisations should use trained internal or external support rather than relying only on informal digital communication.

KEY OBSERVATION:

- Digital communication increases the need for clarity because tone and context are easier to misread.
- Sensitive conflict should be moved to an appropriate conversation channel rather than prolonged through reactive messages.
- Shared communication norms make hybrid teams more predictable, respectful and resilient.

6. DISCUSSION

The analysis demonstrates that emotional intelligence is not an optional interpersonal quality separate from organisational performance. It affects how workplace conflict is interpreted, expressed and resolved. Employees who can recognise emotion, regulate impulsive responses and understand

another person's perspective are better equipped to prevent a disagreement from becoming personal. They can identify the issue under discussion, communicate impact without blame and participate in a process that protects both task outcomes and working relationships. At the same time, emotional intelligence should not be treated as a substitute for organisational responsibility. Organisations create conflict when they provide unclear roles, unrealistic workload, inconsistent policies, opaque decisions or inadequate resources. Asking employees to manage their emotions without addressing these causes can shift responsibility unfairly onto individuals. A strong conflict-resolution system therefore combines emotional competence with role clarity, fair procedures, accessible reporting channels and leadership accountability.

The distinction between emotion regulation and emotional suppression is also important. Constructive conflict resolution does not mean that employees should hide concern, tolerate disrespect or agree merely to preserve harmony. Suppressed issues can reappear as resentment, disengagement or passive resistance. The aim is to express emotion in a way that is honest, proportionate and linked to a clear request or problem statement. This preserves dignity while keeping the conversation open to evidence and resolution.

A practical organisational approach should embed emotional intelligence throughout the employee lifecycle. Recruitment and onboarding can communicate expectations for respectful disagreement. Leadership programmes can include self-awareness, feedback, difficult conversations and mediation skills. Team charters can identify how members raise concerns and make decisions. Human resource practices can provide confidential consultation, early intervention and follow-up after serious conflict. These measures turn emotional intelligence from a personal aspiration into a shared capability supported by systems.

There is also a collective responsibility across the workplace. Employees are responsible for preparing for difficult conversations, listening honestly and avoiding personal attacks. Managers are responsible for setting tone, applying processes fairly and addressing unresolved tension. Human resource

professionals are responsible for providing credible support and monitoring patterns that may indicate deeper organisational problems. Senior leaders are responsible for ensuring that performance pressure does not reward aggressive or harmful behaviour. Conflict resolution is strongest when these responsibilities are clear and mutually reinforcing.

7. CONCLUSION

Emotional intelligence is an important resource for conflict resolution at work because it helps people recognise emotional cues, manage reactivity, communicate respectfully and understand the perspectives of others. The study finds that self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy and social skill can reduce the likelihood that ordinary workplace disagreements develop into damaging relationship conflict. These capacities support the shift from blame and defensiveness towards clarification, negotiation and collaborative problem-solving.

8. REFERENCES

1. Amason, A. C. (1996). *Distinguishing the effects of functional and dysfunctional conflict on strategic decision making: Resolving a paradox for top management teams*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(1), 123-148.
2. Ashkanasy, N. M., & Daus, C. S. (2002). *Emotion in the workplace: The new challenge for managers*. *Academy of Management Executive*, 16(1), 76-86.
3. Bar-On, R. (1997). *BarOn Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-i): Technical Manual*. Multi-Health Systems.
4. Côté, S. (2014). *Emotional intelligence in organizations*. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 459-488.
5. De Dreu, C. K. W., & Weingart, L. R. (2003). *Task versus relationship conflict, team performance, and team member satisfaction: A meta-analysis*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(4), 741-749.
6. Deutsch, M. (1973). *The Resolution of Conflict: Constructive and Destructive Processes*. Yale University Press.
7. Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with Emotional Intelligence*. Bantam Books.
8. Gross, J. J. (1998). *The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review*. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(3), 271-299.
9. Jehn, K. A. (1995). *A multimethod examination of the benefits and detriments of intragroup conflict*. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(2), 256-282.
10. Jordan, P. J., & Troth, A. C. (2002). *Emotional intelligence and conflict resolution: Implications for human resource development*. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 4(1), 62-79.
11. Jordan, P. J., & Troth, A. C. (2004). *Managing emotions during team problem solving: Emotional intelligence and conflict resolution*. *Human Performance*, 17(2), 195-218.
12. Kafetsios, K., Nezlek, J. B., & Vassiou, A. (2011). *A multilevel analysis of relationships between emotional intelligence and emotional reactions to conflicts in the workplace*. *Emotion*, 11(2), 263-276.
13. Lopes, P. N., Côté, S., & Salovey, P. (2006). *An ability model of emotional intelligence: Implications for assessment and training*. In V. U. Druskat, F. Sala, & G. Mount (Eds.), *Linking Emotional Intelligence and Performance at Work* (pp. 53-80). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

14. Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. R. (2004). Emotional intelligence: Theory, findings, and implications. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(3), 197-215.
15. O'Boyle, E. H., Humphrey, R. H., Pollack, J. M., Hawver, T. H., & Story, P. A. (2011). The relation between emotional intelligence and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 32(5), 788-818.
16. Rahim, M. A. (2002). Toward a theory of managing organizational conflict. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 13(3), 206-235.
17. Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185-211.
18. Schlaerth, A., Ensari, N., & Christian, J. (2013). A meta-analytical review of the relationship between emotional intelligence and leaders' constructive conflict management. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 16(1), 126-136.
19. Tjosvold, D. (2008). The conflict-positive organization: It depends upon us. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29(1), 19-28.
20. Thomas, K. W. (1992). Conflict and conflict management: Reflections and update. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 13(3), 265-274.
21. Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (1974). Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument. Xicom.
22. Van Kleef, G. A., De Dreu, C. K. W., & Manstead, A. S. R. (2010). An interpersonal approach to emotion in social decision making: The emotions as social information model. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 42, 45-96.
23. Weisinger, H. (1998). *Emotional Intelligence at Work: The Untapped Edge for Success*. Jossey-Bass.
24. Wong, C. S., & Law, K. S. (2002). The effects of leader and follower emotional intelligence on performance and attitude: An exploratory study. *Leadership Quarterly*, 13(3), 243-274.
25. Yukl, G., & Gardner, W. L. (2020). *Leadership in Organizations* (9th ed.). Pearson.