
AIR QUALITY MONITORING NEAR INDUSTRIAL ZONES

Prof. S. M. Shiva Nagendra

Professor

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Madras

Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Air quality deterioration near industrial zones is a major environmental and public-health concern because factories, thermal plants, refineries, cement units, metal-processing facilities, brick kilns, warehouses, and heavy-vehicle corridors can release particulate matter and gaseous pollutants into surrounding communities. The risk is shaped not only by the volume of emissions but also by stack height, fuel quality, production schedules, meteorology, terrain, roadside traffic, and the distance between industrial premises and homes, schools, clinics, and markets. Reliable monitoring is therefore essential for identifying pollution hotspots, assessing exposure, supporting compliance, and protecting vulnerable populations.

This review-based study examines air quality monitoring near industrial zones through an environmental health and regulatory perspective. It analyses principal pollutants, emission pathways, monitoring approaches, data-quality requirements, exposure patterns, community impacts, and institutional responses. Evidence from air-quality standards, monitoring guidance, industrial emission studies, sensor research, and public-health literature is synthesised to clarify how monitoring can be designed to support both pollution prevention and informed local decision making. The analysis shows that effective industrial-zone monitoring requires a combination of reference-grade stations, targeted sampling, calibrated low-cost sensor networks, meteorological observations, emission-source inventories, and transparent reporting. Monitoring is most useful when it captures both routine and episodic pollution, distinguishes industrial contributions from traffic and background sources, and communicates results in a form that communities and regulators can act upon. Stronger emission controls, continuous monitoring, public disclosure, buffer planning, cleaner production, and community participation can reduce exposure and improve environmental accountability in industrial regions.

Keywords: Industrial air pollution; Ambient air quality; Particulate matter; PM_{2.5}; PM₁₀; Sulphur dioxide; Nitrogen dioxide; Volatile organic compounds; Emission monitoring; Environmental health

1. INTRODUCTION

Industrial development is closely linked with employment generation, infrastructure growth, and regional economic activity, yet it can also produce persistent pressure on local air quality. Manufacturing units, industrial estates, thermal power facilities, foundries, refineries, chemical plants, cement works, waste-processing sites, and logistics hubs may emit particulate matter, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, volatile organic compounds, ammonia, heavy-metal-bearing dust, and other pollutants. When emissions are not effectively controlled, surrounding neighbourhoods may experience increased respiratory discomfort, visibility reduction, dust deposition, odour problems, material damage, and reduced confidence in local environmental conditions.

The effects of industrial air pollution are often spatially uneven. Communities located

downwind of a facility or close to transport corridors can be exposed more frequently than residents elsewhere in the same city or district. Exposure may also vary from hour to hour because industrial activity, truck movement, maintenance operations, start-up and shutdown events, atmospheric mixing, temperature inversions, rainfall, and wind direction alter pollutant concentrations. A single monitoring point may therefore fail to represent the full pattern of risk. Monitoring must be designed around the specific industrial setting and the people most likely to be affected.

Air quality monitoring provides the evidence base for environmental regulation and public-health protection. It helps authorities compare ambient concentrations with prescribed standards, investigate complaints, identify high-emission processes, evaluate the effectiveness of pollution-control equipment, and prioritise enforcement. For nearby

residents, transparent data can support awareness, precautionary behaviour, and participation in decisions about industrial expansion, zoning, transport management, and emergency response. Monitoring is not only a technical activity; it is also an accountability mechanism that connects environmental information with the right to a safe and healthy living environment.

This paper examines the purpose, methods, and governance value of air quality monitoring near industrial zones. It considers the major pollutants of concern, monitoring-system design, source attribution, data interpretation, community exposure, and practical measures for reducing pollution. The central argument is that reliable monitoring should be continuous, context-specific, quality-assured, and publicly meaningful rather than limited to occasional measurements or compliance reporting alone.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on ambient air pollution consistently identifies fine particulate matter and gaseous pollutants as important environmental health hazards. Particulate matter can originate from combustion, industrial handling of raw materials, crushing and grinding operations, fly ash, construction, road dust, and heavy-duty vehicle movement. Fine particles can remain suspended for long periods and travel beyond the industrial boundary, while larger particles may settle near emission sources and create visible dust accumulation. Sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, ozone precursors, and volatile organic compounds may be released from fuel combustion, chemical processes, storage tanks, boilers, furnaces, generators, and solvent use.

A substantial body of research also addresses the evolution of air-quality monitoring. Conventional reference instruments remain essential because they provide standardised, defensible measurements for regulatory use. However, sparse station coverage can miss local variations near factories, roads, and residential clusters. Low-cost sensors, mobile monitoring, satellite data, passive samplers, and community observation have therefore become valuable complementary tools. The literature emphasises that these tools need calibration, collocation with reference instruments, maintenance, and careful

interpretation before they are used to draw conclusions about exposure or compliance.

Another area of study concerns environmental justice and exposure inequality. Industrial sites are frequently located near lower-income settlements, informal housing, labour colonies, or areas with limited health services and limited capacity to influence local planning. Residents may be exposed through outdoor air, indoor infiltration, occupational contact, road dust, and contaminated surfaces. Children, older persons, pregnant women, people with asthma or heart disease, outdoor workers, and households with poor housing ventilation may face increased vulnerability. The literature therefore recommends integrating technical monitoring with health protection, public information, social safeguards, and participatory governance.

2.1 MAJOR POLLUTANTS AND INDUSTRIAL EMISSION SOURCES

Particulate matter is a primary concern near industrial zones because it can be emitted directly or formed in the atmosphere from gaseous precursors. PM₁₀ is commonly associated with dust-generating activities such as material handling, mineral processing, transport on unpaved roads, demolition, and stockpile disturbance. PM_{2.5} is often linked with combustion processes, diesel engines, coal and biomass burning, metal processing, and secondary aerosol formation. Fine particles are particularly important for health because they can penetrate deep into the respiratory system and may carry metals, soot, sulphates, nitrates, and organic compounds.

Sulphur dioxide is often associated with combustion of sulphur-containing fuels, oil-fired systems, smelters, refineries, and some industrial processes. Nitrogen oxides are produced by high-temperature combustion in boilers, kilns, power plants, furnaces, engines, and generators. Volatile organic compounds may be emitted during chemical manufacturing, painting, coating, solvent use, storage, loading, and handling of petroleum products. Depending on the industrial profile, monitoring may also need to consider ammonia, hydrogen sulphide, benzene, formaldehyde, chlorine, fluoride, lead, chromium, manganese, and other pollutants with specific local relevance.

Industrial emissions can be continuous, intermittent, or accidental. Routine operations may create an identifiable baseline, while events such as equipment failure, bypassing of controls, leakages, flare episodes, open burning, stockpile disturbance, or sudden increases in production can cause short-duration peaks. Monitoring strategies should be able to capture these conditions. A system that reports only daily or monthly averages may overlook episodes that cause severe odour, acute irritation, or high short-term exposure.

2.2 MONITORING CHALLENGES AND COMMUNITY EXPOSURE

A major challenge in industrial-zone monitoring is separating the contribution of a particular facility from other urban sources. Traffic, domestic fuel use, construction, waste burning, nearby diesel generators, seasonal dust, and regional transport may affect the same pollutants. Source attribution requires a combination of emission inventories, wind data, temporal patterns, chemical signatures, dispersion assessment, and comparison with background locations. Without this context, it may be difficult to identify whether elevated readings are linked to a specific industrial process or to broader urban air pollution.

Site selection is equally important. Monitoring stations should consider prevailing wind direction, distance from the emission source, height of release, terrain, nearby obstacles, population density, and the location of sensitive receptors such as schools, hospitals, homes, bus stops, and worker colonies. A station located only inside an industrial premises may demonstrate compliance at the boundary but may not show conditions in residential areas. Conversely, a station too close to a single source may not represent community-wide exposure. A balanced network normally includes source-adjacent, downwind, upwind or background, and community-receptor locations.

Data quality is another central issue. Sensors can drift over time, become affected by humidity and temperature, or report biased values when installed without appropriate siting and maintenance. Reference methods need regular calibration, quality control, operating checks, and traceable procedures. Low-cost devices can be useful for identifying

spatial patterns, supporting screening, and communicating local variation, but they should be interpreted with documented uncertainty. Transparent monitoring requires not only publication of readings but also clear information about instrument type, averaging period, calibration status, data completeness, and limitations.

3. OBJECT OF STUDY

The primary object of this study is to examine how air quality monitoring can identify, assess, and reduce environmental and health risks in communities located near industrial zones. The paper focuses on the relationship between industrial emission sources, ambient pollutant concentrations, exposure conditions, regulatory oversight, and local public-health protection.

The study considers the monitoring of key ambient pollutants, including PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, ozone precursors, volatile organic compounds, and selected toxic pollutants where industrial processes indicate a need. It evaluates how pollutant information can be linked with wind patterns, production activities, transport movement, residential proximity, and complaints from affected communities.

A further objective is to identify the practical factors that improve monitoring effectiveness. These include location planning, reference-grade instrumentation, continuous emission-monitoring systems, sensor calibration, quality assurance, public data disclosure, grievance mechanisms, and coordination between industries, regulators, researchers, and residents. The purpose is not only to measure pollution but also to clarify how monitoring results can lead to prevention, control, and fairer environmental decision making.

3.1 INDUSTRIAL EMISSION SOURCES AND POLLUTANT INDICATORS

- Identification of stationary sources such as stacks, boilers, kilns, furnaces, generators, material handling points, storage yards, and process vents.
- Assessment of fugitive emissions from roads, stockpiles, loading and unloading areas, conveyor systems, open handling, and construction activity.
- Measurement of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon

monoxide, volatile organic compounds, and pollutant-specific indicators relevant to the industrial profile.

- Review of fuel type, production schedule, pollution-control equipment, operating hours, maintenance practices, and abnormal event records.
- Comparison of pollution concentrations at upwind, downwind, boundary, roadside, and residential monitoring locations.
- Consideration of meteorological variables such as wind speed, wind direction, temperature, humidity, mixing height, rainfall, and seasonal conditions.
- The purpose is to establish a clear picture of where pollutants originate, how they move through the local environment, and which emission pathways require priority action.

3.2 EXPOSURE, HEALTH PROTECTION, AND COMMUNITY INFORMATION

- Assessment of exposure conditions for residents, schoolchildren, outdoor workers, transport staff, vendors, and industrial labour communities.
- Identification of sensitive locations such as schools, health centres, residential colonies, water points, public markets, and bus stops.
- Analysis of short-term pollution peaks, odour events, dust episodes, and repeated complaints that may not be reflected in long-term averages.
- Evaluation of public access to air-quality data, advisory messages, reporting systems, and response channels for pollution incidents.
- Consideration of household vulnerability, including poor ventilation, limited healthcare access, pre-existing illness, and dependence on outdoor work.
- Integration of health-protection measures such as local alerts, school guidance, medical outreach, and temporary exposure-reduction actions during severe events.

These dimensions help connect technical measurements with the real conditions experienced by people living and working near industrial activity.

3.3 GOVERNANCE, COMPLIANCE, AND LOCAL RESILIENCE

- Continuous emission monitoring for major industrial stacks, supported by independent ambient monitoring near affected communities.
- Routine inspection of pollution-control equipment, fuel quality, dust suppression systems, leak prevention, and waste-handling practices.
- Public reporting dashboards that explain measured pollutants, averaging periods, health relevance, and corrective actions in accessible language.
- Community complaint systems with documented timelines for inspection, response, corrective action, and follow-up monitoring.
- Industrial-zone planning that uses buffer areas, green belts, traffic management, transport routing, and location-specific development controls.
- Cleaner production, energy efficiency, fuel switching, electrification, process modification, and resource recovery to prevent emissions at source.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a review-based, descriptive, analytical, and comparative methodology to examine air quality monitoring near industrial zones. The methodology integrates environmental science, exposure assessment, industrial pollution control, monitoring technology, and public-health perspectives. It is designed to clarify how pollutant measurement, source information, local geography, and community exposure can be combined to support effective decision making.

The study is based on secondary evidence from peer-reviewed research articles, air-quality guidelines, regulatory standards, industrial emission reports, sensor-evaluation studies, environmental assessment documents, and public-health literature. The material is interpreted through a thematic framework that considers emission sources, monitoring design, data quality, exposure pathways, governance mechanisms, and pollution-reduction options.

4.1 RESEARCH DESIGN

The research follows a descriptive and comparative design to synthesise evidence on

industrial air pollution, monitoring approaches, exposure assessment, and regulatory response.

Component	Description
Research Type	Review-based, descriptive, analytical, comparative
Approach	Environmental health, exposure assessment, and industrial emission monitoring
Data Source	Research articles, air-quality standards, policy documents, monitoring reports, and sensor studies
Analysis Method	Thematic synthesis and comparative monitoring assessment
Focus Area	Industrial emissions, ambient pollutants, monitoring systems, exposure patterns, and regulatory response

4.2 SOURCES AND ANALYTICAL CATEGORIES

The study considers the following evidence categories for evaluation:

- Industrial emission inventories, stack-monitoring reports, and ambient air-quality assessments.
- Studies of particulate matter, gaseous pollutants, volatile organic compounds, and toxic industrial contaminants.
- Research on low-cost sensors, mobile monitoring, calibration methods, and data-quality assurance.
- Public-health studies on respiratory and cardiovascular effects of ambient air pollution.
- Policies, standards, compliance mechanisms, and community-level environmental reporting practices.
- Each evidence source is analysed based on:
- Pollutant type, source pathway, and emission pattern.
- Monitoring method, instrument performance, and data-coverage characteristics.
- Location of monitoring relative to industrial sources and sensitive community receptors.
- Short-term and long-term exposure implications for nearby populations.
- Governance, prevention, enforcement, and recovery measures.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis synthesises evidence on the relationship between industrial activity, ambient pollution, and community exposure. The relationship can be understood as a connected sequence: industrial processes and associated transport release pollutants; local weather and terrain influence their dispersion and accumulation; concentrations rise at particular times and locations; and residents,

workers, and sensitive groups experience varying levels of exposure. Monitoring is the tool that makes this sequence visible. Without reliable data, emissions may remain unrecognised, complaints may be difficult to verify, and corrective actions may be delayed. Particulate monitoring is particularly important in industrial areas because dust may arise from both direct emissions and secondary activities. A facility may have a controlled stack while still generating substantial fugitive dust from unloading, storage, conveyor belts, vehicle movement, road surfaces, ash ponds, or open transfer points. Monitoring at multiple locations can reveal whether concentrations increase downwind, during vehicle peaks, or during specific production periods. This information can guide practical measures such as enclosure of transfer points, paved internal roads, wheel washing, mechanical sweeping, covered transport, stockpile management, and improved dust suppression.

Gaseous pollutants require equally careful interpretation. Sulphur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide may show elevated values near combustion-intensive facilities, whereas volatile organic compounds may be associated with solvent handling, refineries, chemical production, storage tanks, or loading activities. Short-term measurements can help identify leaks or episodic releases, while longer records provide evidence of recurring patterns. When wind-direction data are analysed alongside pollutant concentrations, repeated downwind elevation may indicate the need for more focused inspection and source-control assessment.

The analysis also demonstrates the importance of time resolution. Daily averages may be useful for understanding long-term exposure, but they can obscure sharp peaks that occur during shift changes, truck queues, start-up periods, maintenance events, or nighttime

operations. High-resolution monitoring, together with operational logs, can identify whether particular activities correspond with pollution episodes. Such evidence supports targeted interventions rather than generalised assumptions about industrial impact.

Low-cost sensors can expand the spatial reach of monitoring, especially in locations where reference stations are limited. They can be placed near schools, residential clusters, roads, and industrial boundaries to show neighbourhood variation. However, their outputs need to be evaluated against reference measurements and adjusted for local humidity, temperature, and aerosol characteristics. The strongest monitoring architecture uses reference-grade instruments for regulatory confidence and calibrated supplementary sensors for local pattern detection, public engagement, and rapid screening.

Finally, the evidence indicates that monitoring results become more effective when they are connected to response systems. Readings should trigger inspection, review of emission-control equipment, public advisories, corrective orders, and follow-up verification where necessary. Data that remain unpublished or are not linked to accountability processes may have limited protective value. A monitoring programme should therefore define how data will be reviewed, who is responsible for action, and how communities will be informed about both problems and improvements.

6. DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that monitoring near industrial zones must be treated as a public-health and planning function, not only as an administrative compliance requirement. Industrial facilities may report stack emissions, yet communities are exposed to the combined effects of point sources, fugitive emissions, vehicle movement, road dust, and nearby combustion. Ambient monitoring is needed to understand what reaches people outside the facility. A credible system should therefore combine source monitoring and community-receptor monitoring rather than relying on either approach alone.

Prevention at source is more effective than responding after pollution has spread through neighbourhoods. Dust-control equipment, clean fuel, efficient combustion, vapour

recovery, leak detection, closed material handling, electrified transport, paved roads, green buffers, and operational discipline can reduce emissions before they become an ambient exposure problem. Monitoring supports prevention by showing where controls are inadequate, when performance deteriorates, and whether corrective measures are producing measurable improvement.

The discussion also highlights the importance of transparent information. Residents living near industrial areas may notice odour, soot, dust deposition, or coughing episodes but may lack access to data that explain what is occurring. Publicly available air-quality information, written in clear language and accompanied by health guidance, can reduce uncertainty and strengthen trust. Information should include not only raw values but also the monitoring location, instrument type, averaging period, data gaps, interpretation, and actions taken by the responsible authority or facility.

Environmental justice should remain central to industrial-zone monitoring. Communities with lower income or insecure housing may have fewer opportunities to relocate, less access to healthcare, and greater dependence on outdoor work. They may also have limited influence over zoning, industrial expansion, and enforcement decisions. Monitoring networks should not be designed solely around industrial gates or commercial centres. They should include the places where vulnerable people live, learn, travel, and work. Community knowledge of recurring incidents can also improve the timing and placement of monitoring activities.

Long-term improvement requires collaboration across institutions. Regulators need strong standards, enforcement capacity, laboratory support, and transparent procedures. Industries need to maintain pollution-control equipment, disclose operational information, and implement cleaner production. Researchers can assist with sensor evaluation, source analysis, and exposure interpretation. Local governments can manage roads, land use, transport routes, and buffer planning. Communities and civil-society groups can report incidents and participate in monitoring review. This coordinated approach can

transform air-quality data into measurable environmental protection.

7. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that air quality monitoring near industrial zones is essential for identifying pollutant exposure, evaluating emission-control performance, protecting public health, and improving environmental accountability. Industrial sources can contribute particulate matter, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, volatile organic compounds, and other pollutants through both stacks and fugitive pathways. The intensity and distribution of exposure depend on emission characteristics, weather conditions, terrain, road traffic, and the proximity of residential and sensitive locations.

The analysis shows that effective monitoring requires a context-specific network that includes upwind or background sites, industrial-boundary locations, downwind residential areas, traffic-influenced corridors, and sensitive community receptors. Reference

8. REFERENCES

1. Brook, R. D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C. A., III, Brook, J. R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-Roux, A. V., Holguin, F., Hong, Y., Luepker, R. V., Mittleman, M. A., Peters, A., Siscovick, D., Smith, S. C., Whitsel, L., and Kaufman, J. D. (2010). Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 121(21), 2331-2378. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181d8e1>
2. Castell, N., Dauge, F. R., Schneider, P., Vogt, M., Lerner, U., Fishbain, B., Broday, D., and Bartonova, A. (2017). Can commercial low-cost sensor platforms contribute to air quality monitoring and exposure estimates? *Environment International*, 99, 293-302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.12.007>
3. Central Pollution Control Board. (2009). National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Government of India, New Delhi.
4. Central Pollution Control Board. (2014). National Air Quality Index. Government of India, New Delhi.
5. Central Pollution Control Board. (2019). National Clean Air Programme. Government of India, New Delhi.
6. Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., III, Shin, H., Straif, K., Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L., and Forouzanfar, M. H. (2017). Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution. *The Lancet*, 389(10082), 1907-1918. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30505-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6)
7. Dockery, D. W., Pope, C. A., III, Xu, X., Spengler, J. D., Ware, J. H., Fay, M. E., Ferris, B. G., Jr., and Speizer, F. E. (1993). An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 329(24), 1753-1759. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199312093292401>
8. European Environment Agency. (2023). Air Quality in Europe 2023. Publications Office of the European Union.

instruments remain necessary for reliable regulatory measurement, while calibrated low-cost sensors can provide valuable information about local spatial and temporal variation. Data quality, calibration, siting, completeness, and interpretation must be addressed openly to ensure that monitoring results are credible and useful.

The strongest responses combine continuous monitoring with prevention at source, enforcement, cleaner production, public information, complaint-response systems, health protection, and inclusive planning. Monitoring should lead to action: investigation of pollution events, improvement of control equipment, reduction of fugitive emissions, disclosure of progress, and protection of people most exposed. By treating clean air as a foundation for public health, decent work, and sustainable industrial development, institutions can make industrial growth more responsible and more equitable for surrounding communities.

9. Hagan, D. H., and Kroll, J. H. (2020). Assessing the accuracy of low-cost optical particle sensors using a physics-based approach. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 13, 6343-6355. <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6343-2020>
10. Health Effects Institute. (2020). *State of Global Air 2020*. Special Report. Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute.
11. Hoek, G., Krishnan, R. M., Beelen, R., Peters, A., Ostro, B., Brunekreef, B., and Kaufman, J. D. (2013). Long-term air pollution exposure and cardio-respiratory mortality: A review. *Environmental Health*, 12, 43. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-12-43>
12. India State-Level Disease Burden Initiative Air Pollution Collaborators. (2021). Health and economic impact of air pollution in the states of India: The Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5(1), e25-e38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(20\)30298-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30298-9)
13. Jiao, W., Hagler, G., Williams, R., Sharpe, A., Brown, R., Garver, D., Judge, R., Caudill, M., Rickard, J., Davis, M., Weinstock, L., Zimmer-Dauphinee, S., and Buckley, K. (2016). Community Air Sensor Network (CAIRSENSE) project: Evaluation of low-cost sensor performance in a suburban environment in the southeastern United States. *Sensors*, 16(4), 607. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16040607>
14. Kumar, P., Morawska, L., Martani, C., Biskos, G., Neophytou, M., Di Sabatino, S., Bell, M., Norford, L., and Britter, R. (2015). The rise of low-cost sensing for managing air pollution in cities. *Environment International*, 75, 199-205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.11.019>
15. Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J. R., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Balde, A. B., Bertollini, R., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Boufford, J. I., Breyse, P. N., Chiles, T., Mahidol, C., Coll-Seck, A. M., Cropper, M. L., Fobil, J., Fuster, V., Greenstone, M., Haines, A., Hennigan, C. J., Hu, H., Huang, J., Krupnick, A., Lanphear, B. P., Lohani, B., Martin, K., Mathiasen, K. V., McTeer, M. A., Murray, C. J. L., Ndahimananjara, J. D., Perera, F., Potocnik, J., Preker, A. S., Ramesh, J., Rockstrom, J., Salinas, C., Samson, L. D., Sandilya, K., Sly, P. D., Smith, K. R., Steiner, A., Stewart, R. B., Suk, W. A., van Schayck, O. C. P., Yadama, G. N., Yumkella, K., and Zhong, M. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. *The Lancet*, 391(10119), 462-512. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32345-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0)
16. Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A. (2015). The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. *Nature*, 525, 367-371. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371>
17. Mead, M. I., Popoola, O. A. M., Stewart, G. B., Landshoff, P., Calleja, M., Hayes, M., Baldovi, J. J., McLeod, M. W., Hodgson, T. F., Dicks, J., Lewis, A., and Cohen, J. (2013). The use of electrochemical sensors for monitoring urban air quality in low-cost, high-density networks. *Atmospheric Environment*, 70, 186-203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.060>
18. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. (1981). *The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981*. Government of India, New Delhi.
19. Morawska, L., Thai, P. K., Liu, X., Asumadu-Sakyi, A., Ayoko, G., Bartonova, A., Bedini, A., Chai, F., Christensen, B., Dunbabin, M., Gao, M., Hagler, G. S. W., Jayaratne, R., Kumar, P., Lau, A. K. H., Louie, P. K. K., Mazaheri, M., Ning, Z., Motta, N., Mullins, B., Rahman, M. M., Ristovski, Z., Shafiei, M., Tjondronegoro, D., Westerdahl, D., and Williams, R. (2018). Applications of low-cost sensing technologies for air quality monitoring and exposure assessment: How far have they gone? *Environment International*, 116, 286-299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.04.018>
20. Pope, C. A., III, Burnett, R. T., Thun, M. J., Calle, E. E., Krewski, D., Ito, K., and Thurston, G. D. (2002). Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 287(9), 1132-1141. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.9.1132>
21. Snyder, E. G., Watkins, T. H., Solomon, P. A., Thoma, E. D., Williams, R. W., Hagler, G. S. W., Shelow, D., Hindin, D. A., Kilaru, V. J., and Preuss, P. W. (2013). The changing paradigm of air pollution monitoring. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47(20), 11369-11377. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es4022602>

22. Spinelle, L., Gerboles, M., Aleixandre, M., and Bonavitacola, F. (2017). Field calibration of a cluster of low-cost available sensors for air quality monitoring. Part A: Ozone and nitrogen dioxide. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 238, 706-715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.07.031>
23. United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). *Air Pollution in Asia and the Pacific: Science-Based Solutions*. Nairobi: UNEP.
24. United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). *Actions on Air Quality: A Global Summary of Policies and Programmes to Reduce Air Pollution*. Nairobi: UNEP.
25. United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2014). *Air Sensor Guidebook*. EPA/600/R-14/159. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. EPA.
26. United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2020). *Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter*. EPA/600/R-19/188. Washington, DC: U.S. EPA.
27. World Bank. (2016). *The Cost of Air Pollution: Strengthening the Economic Case for Action*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
28. World Health Organization. (2021). *WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines: Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide*. Geneva: WHO.
29. World Health Organization. (2022). *Ambient (Outdoor) Air Pollution*. Geneva: WHO.
30. Zheng, T., Bergin, M. H., Johnson, K. K., Tripathi, S. N., Shirodkar, S., Landis, M. S., and Sutaria, R. (2018). Field evaluation of low-cost particulate matter sensors in high- and low-concentration environments. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 11, 4823-4846. <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-4823-2018>